

Review of: "Liver Function Test Abnormalities and Associated Factors Among Liver Disease Patients at the University of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Hospital Northwest, Ethiopia: Cross Sectional Study"

Abdolrasoul Rahmani

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I recommend this manuscript for publication in the Journal of Qeios after major revision. Consider the following comments given below, which will improve the overall manuscript:

1. In general, it is better to use abbreviations along with the complete term in the abstract. Please include this information for all terms that are mentioned for the first time, for example, the terms ALT and AST in the abstract.
2. Some grammar errors could be found in the paper; please check and deeply revise them. Also, English needs to be carefully checked again and more improved.
3. The introduction part can be more organized with paragraphs. Authors can also rewrite it.
4. Please state the novelty of your research. The novelty carried out with this work was not reported and should be emphasized.
5. It is better to divide the Methods and Materials into different headings and subheadings.
6. Were there any special conditions for the participants to enter the study?
7. Please state the method of collecting the number of samples. For example, sampling methods in data collection include random, systematic, convenience, clustered, and stratified sampling OR the formula for calculating the number of samples.
8. The authors state "Furthermore, standard operating procedures were strictly followed." Please provide more details or provide a reference.
9. The Methods and Materials section needs to be revised and described in more detail.
10. Please, for the first time (Results), write the full phrase of all abbreviations, such as: HIV, HBV.
11. Was it not possible to check other liver function parameters? As ALP, GGT, etc.
12. The discussion section is well written, but the authors should put more effort into the discussion with the help of latest and pertinent references.
13. In the conclusion, novel insights should be clearly highlighted.