

Review of: "Social Class, Gender and Psychological Distress in Mumbai: Risk and Protective Factors"

Ana María Valles-Medina¹

¹ Autonomous University of Baja California

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The study seems methodologically solid, well documented, with good sampling technique, and provides interesting approaches, but confusion is observed in the use of epidemiological terms such as "incidence" and "relative risk" because cohort studies are the only observational studies that can calculate incidence, but this study apparently was a cross-sectional design, and on the other hand, in order to calculate the relative risk, it should have a follow-up period.

I think that the problem could be solved by changing the term "incidence" to "prevalence" and estimating odds ratios instead of relative risk.

Please see this article: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20173345/>