

# Review of: "Assessment of soil erosion in the Cesar watershed, an initial step toward the restoration of the Cesar River"

Seifu Kebede Debela<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Jimma University (JU)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for your invitation and my comments are as follows:

1. Title: It is not much attractive and its better to modify it. Two titles are combined: assessment of soil erosion and restoration of Cesar River
2. Abstract: not sufficient. it should clearly reflect the research gap, objective of the study, input data used, methodology applied, results and discussions, conclusion. Let take one example: the author said estimation of soil erosion and no estimated values in abstract.
3. Methods: Not sufficient. the watershed delineation is not clear. The outlet should be identified and watershed can be delineated as per the selected outlet. The author should explain the detail input data and methodology used. Each factor should clearly explain showed supported by appropriate equations when/where necessary. Let the wrong assumption of author is he/she fixed the period from 1991-2020. Even somewhere 1990-2020. this shows inconsistency. But, this period is only for precipitation and he/she tooocked different period for other parameters like LULC, soil, etc.
4. Results and discussion: Not matched with title. The author can go in detail his/her finding even at sub-watershed level interims of quantity and spatial distribution. Also, can compare his/her finding with other similar previous researchers.

Dear regards,

Seifu