

# Review of: "Critical Research Thinking: A Recipe for Academic Writing Success and Publications"

Nishtha Khatri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> King Edward Memorial Hospital

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This seems like a well-chosen topic and a relevant subject for the present era of researchers. However, after reading it, I realised that there is a certain amount of repetition in terms of what the author wants to convey. Few sentences seem to convey the very same message but have been reframed to appear in different parts of the article. The major crux of the article is rested on the qualities of critical thinking, research thinking, and critical research thinking. However, all the qualities have a degree of overlap amongst them. Some of the references used are old, dating back to 1988. There should be a clear mention in the methodology of a review stating the duration of the literature search. On the whole, the topic is relevant and will benefit the readers.