

Review of: "When did post-truth begin? From climate change denial to war-mongering nationalism"

Raj Kishore Patra

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The study is a well-intended initiation to cover a little explored areas related to post-truth in connection with climate change and war-mongering nationalism but still need further works.

Suggestions/Comments:

- While mentioning Cartesian Paradigm and Zoopolis, the researcher may add the concept of Anthropocentrism and Biocentrism, strengthening the argument related to environmental concerns.
- Provide the literature support or any relevant work to claim this statement- "In fact, domestic or domesticated animals, including livestock, forcefully participate in the human-led process of environmental destruction."
- Provide the literature support or any relevant work to solidify your argument in this paragraph- "The antiquated notion of 'national interests' seems to be particularly resistant to compromise..... The 'territoriality trap' lingers on as climate change negotiators are called to represent territorially bounded constituencies at a time when all human-made frontiers are being erased by climate change.
- The researcher mentioned that the study is based upon two variables, such as climate change and war-mongering nationalism, to investigate the post-truth. However, the researcher still needs to explain how he drew these two variables and the methodology implemented to explore and analyze those variable-related concepts and findings.
- The study seems based upon a literature review, which is a secondary approach. In addition, the primary study method (based on fresh primary data) could bring more authenticity and value to the study's findings.
- Kindly avoid interchanging the terms between 'Post-truth' and 'fake news', because both are two different concepts.