

Review of: "Analyzing the nexus between Spatial Data Infrastructure Development and e-Government"

Qasim Hamakhurshid Hamamurad¹

¹ Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Comments to the Editor (Confidential):

Dear Editor,

I have reviewed the manuscript "Analyzing the nexus between Spatial Data Infrastructure Development and e-Government." I regret to inform you I find the article to be unsatisfactory. Below are my specific concerns:

Lack of Clarity and Coherence: The article lacks clarity and coherence in presenting its arguments. The article does not have enough proof to support the claims, making the reader doubt the arguments.

The evidence provided in the article is not enough to support its claims. This leaves the reader questioning the validity of the arguments presented.

Methodological Weaknesses: If applicable, there are concerns regarding the method employed. This includes issues such as sample size, data collection methods, or experimental design.

My review comments are as follows:

- * Are the Title, Abstract and Introduction clear? Yes No
- * Is the methodology sufficiently detailed and reproducible? Yes No
- * Do any figures and tables presented in the article accurately represent the findings of the article? Yes No
- * Have the authors made available any data, novel code and/or software that contributed to this research? Yes No
- * Are the results and analysis sound? Yes No
- * Is the interpretation and discussion sound? Yes No
- * Are the conclusions of the article supported by the results? Yes No

I recommend that the authors thoroughly revise the article, addressing the abovementioned points. The quality of the work could be better if colleagues or experts provided input.

I will reconsider the manuscript after it has undergone substantial revisions.

Thank you for considering my feedback.

Sincerely,

Qasim Hamakhurshid Hamamurad

Management and Information System

qasim@graduate.utm.my

2. **Comments** to the **Author**:

Dear Author,

I have carefully reviewed your article and regret that I found it subpar. Several critical issues need to be addressed before publishing this article.

First, the overall structure of the review lacks coherence and transparent organization. A review section must present information logically and in construction, which, unfortunately, was lacking in this submission. The reference is old; try to use new citation articles published from 2019 to 2023.

The depth of analysis and insight into the subject is insufficient. The article lacks an in-depth exploration of the relevant literature and cannot provide a comprehensive overview.

I believe significant revisions are required before we consider this article for publication. I recommend thoroughly reevaluating the content, improved organization, a more comprehensive literature review, and careful proofreading to rectify the issues above.