

Review of: "Coronavirus COVID-19: A Short Review on the Psychological Effects of Coronavirus, How It Disturbs Social Life, Its Mechanism to Affect the Central Nervous System, Possible Modes of Transmission, and the Smart Lockdown Policy of Pakistan"

Kimia Goudarzi¹

¹ Shiraz University of Medical Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the authors for their efforts in writing this manuscript. However, the text requires major revisions. My comments are as follows:

The purpose of this article is too broad, and I haven't identified the main objective that the author wants to express. In the last paragraph of the introduction section, the authors should clarify the major objectives, but multiple goals were mentioned and the goals were very irrelevant to each other. If the main goal of this study is the psychological effects of COVID-19, how is it relevant to the modes of transmission, the impact of COVID-19 on the central nervous system of humans, or host susceptibility to COVID-19? Please highlight the main goal and ensure that the accompanying goals are relevant to the main goal.

For example, in the section regarding the impact of COVID-19 on the central nervous system, the effect of the virus on the neurological system and brain was discussed, and the paragraph ended with "These neurological problems lead to some serious psychological disorders in patients with the coronavirus." No citation was mentioned here, and this needs to be elaborated; evidence and reasoning concerning this matter are crucial, and studies in this regard should be mentioned as well.

This study doesn't have a method. In the title, it is mentioned that this article is a review, but what methods are used for this review?

It is recommended to use a more formal and scholarly tone in the text. Please ensure that there are no spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors in the text.

Please follow a specific style of referencing in the whole manuscript. The entire draft has a different referencing style that is not very scientific in approach.

Multiple sentences in the manuscript had no citation. Please check the whole draft regarding this matter.

Upon review, it appears that the article is lacking in originality and may benefit from further exploration to provide new and

novel perspectives on the subject matter.