

## Review of: "Formal Verification of a Change Control Process in Project Management"

## Aditya Dev Mishra<sup>1</sup>

1 Galgotias College of Engineering and Technology (GCET)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In general, the organization and presentation of this manuscript are commendable. However, there is a need to concentrate on a few specific aspects as well:

- 1. The literature review presented in the manuscript falls short. The author should consider incorporating more contemporary review articles and technical papers relevant to the proposed field.
- 2. The authors should direct their attention towards the primary challenges and central issue of their research.
- 3. Repeating of the same sentence should be avoid. "To the best of our knowledge, this has not been done before."It's advisable to refrain from repeating the same sentence:
- 4. In order to substantiate the assertion "To the best of our knowledge, this has not been done before," the author should include relevant citations or supporting evidence.
- 5. The validation of the proposed methodology lacks strength. The author should incorporate rigorous mathematical proof for validation purposes.
- 6. The presentation of the limitations of the proposed model in Section 5 is commendable. However, it's important to elucidate the benefits of the proposed model alongside these limitations. The author should provide an explanation of the advantages through a comparative analysis.
- 7. The NuSMV tool has been employed for the formal verification of the proposed mode. While NuSMV is well-suited for this verification, it's worth noting the existence of other formal verification tools as well.
- 8. The Reference Section displays a lack of robustness. The author should enhance it by incorporating more references from the years 2000 to 2023, specifically relevant to the proposed area.

Qeios ID: FENTLF · https://doi.org/10.32388/FENTLF