Research Article

Monitoring Circulating Cell-Free HPV DNA in Metastatic and Recurrent Cervical Cancer: Clinical Importance and Implications for Treatment – A Pilot Study

Peer-approved: 25 November 2024

© The Author(s) 2025. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Qeios, Vol. 6 (2024) ISSN: 2632-3834 Zhuomin Yin¹, Tao Feng¹, Qing Xu¹, Wumin Dai², Maowei Ni², Juan Ni¹, Hanmei Lou¹

1. Department of Gynecologic Radiation Oncology, Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou, China; 2. Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou, China

Purpose: Monitoring of circulating human papillomavirus (HPV) cell-free DNA (cfDNA) is a minimally invasive approach for surveillance in HPV-associated cancers, particularly cervical cancer. The aim of this study was to monitor circulating HPV cfDNA levels in patients with recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer during treatment and follow-up, assessing the utility of HPV cfDNA as a tumor marker for disease surveillance and in guiding clinical treatment decisions.

Experimental Design: In this prospective pilot observational study, levels of HPV cfDNA in serum samples from 28 patients with recurrent or metastatic HPV-positive cervical cancer were measured via digital droplet polymerase chain reaction. Results for HPV cfDNA levels were matched to clinical outcomes and to serum levels of squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC-Ag) to assess the clinical potential of HPV cfDNA as a tumor marker.

Results: HPV cfDNA was detected in all 28 patients (100% detection rate). Notably, median baseline HPV cfDNA levels varied according to the metastatic pattern observed in individual patients (*P*=0.019). Patients with a combined multi-metastatic pattern (lymph node + hematogenous ± diffuse serosal metastasis) exhibited a higher median baseline HPV cfDNA level compared to those with a single-metastasis pattern (local recurrence, lymph node metastasis, or hematogenous metastasis) (*P* = 0.003). All participants exhibited changes in HPV cfDNA levels over a median monitoring period of 2 months (range 0.3 to 16.9 months) prior to evaluations for treatment response or disease progression. Among 26 patients initially diagnosed with squamous cell cervical cancer, the positivity rate was 100% for HPV cfDNA and 69.2% for SCC-Ag (*P* = 0.004, 95% confidence interval, 0–0.391). Among 20 patients longitudinally monitored for squamous cell cervical cancer, the concordance with changes in disease status was 90% for HPV cfDNA and 50% for SCC-Ag (*P* = 0.014, 95% confidence interval, 0.022–0.621).

Conclusions: HPV cfDNA is a promising tumor marker for HPV-positive cervical cancer. In the context of precision medicine, HPV cfDNA is poised to play an increasingly pivotal role in monitoring treatment efficacy, providing valuable insights into disease progression, and guiding clinical decisions.

Juan Ni and Hanmei Lou equally contributed to this work.

 ${\it Correspondence: } \underline{papers@team.qeios.com} - {\it Qeios will forward to the authors}$

Introduction

Cervical cancer (CC) is the fourth most prevalent malignancy in terms of both incidence and mortality among females globally, and it is the primary human papillomavirus (HPV)-associated cancer ^{[L]][2]}. Despite advances in CC treatment, challenges persist regarding recurrence and metastasis ^[3]. The treatment landscape for recurrent or metastatic CC has changed with the emergence of targeted immunotherapy drugs. However, the lack of effective biomarkers hinders the assessment of treatment efficacy and the ability to predict patient outcomes in this setting ^[4]. There is a pressing need for more effective and minimally invasive biomarkers for serial monitoring of treatment responses and prognosis of patient outcomes.

As a liquid biopsy modality, measurement of HPV cfDNA released from tumor cells into the bloodstream has extensive utility in optimizing various facets of cancer management, including early diagnosis [5], noninvasive genotyping, pretreatment assessment, drug target identification, resistance detection [6][7], treatment efficacy monitoring, post-treatment follow-up, and relapse prediction [8][9][10]. HPV is the cause of most CC cases [11]. Viral DNA from high-risk HPV genotypes integrates into host cell genomes, resulting in widespread expression of virus-specific E6/E7 proteins [12]. As HPV-associated cancers release HPV cfDNA into the host's bloodstream, circulating HPV cfDNA in free or integrated form is an attractive potential biomarker that can be detected in blood [13][14]. Typically, circulating HPV cfDNA is detected using digital droplet polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR) or nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) technology [15]. DdPCR allows direct, independent, and absolute quantification of HPV cfDNA in samples, with a DNA detection threshold as low as 1 copy/mL. Results can be obtained within 1 day, therefore ddPCR is relatively cost-effective [16][17]. Recent advances in targeted therapies and immunotherapies have significantly enhanced the treatment efficacy for recurrent or metastatic CC and have increased overall survival (OS) [4]. Some patients may require maintenance therapy for up to 2 years, and others may survive with their tumor for an extended period. Consequently, it is imperative to closely monitor each patient's condition and adjust their treatment plans accordingly. However, conventional imaging methods occasionally fail to reflect disease changes in a timely manner [18], while blood biomarkers such as squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC-Ag) have limited clinical effectiveness for monitoring [19]. Considering these clinical challenges, we hypothesized that circulating HPV cfDNA levels correlate with metastatic patterns and treatment response in CC. To validate this hypothesis, we prospectively recruited an observational pilot cohort comprising patients with primary stage IVB or recurrent HPV-positive CC and measured HPV cfDNA levels. The primary objective was to examine the correlation between HPV cfDNA copy numbers, disease parameters, and treatment responses. The secondary objective was to compare the predictive value of HPV cfDNA and SCC-Ag in assessing treatment responses.

Methods

Study design

From August 2017 to February 2023, a total of 33 patients with pathologically confirmed primary stage IVB or recurrent HPV-positive CC were enrolled at Zhejiang Cancer Hospital. Five patients were excluded from the analysis, as outlined in Figure 1. The final analysis cohort comprised 28 cases: 21 with primary stage IVB CC and seven with recurrent CC. Notably, 19 cases in the primary CC group participated in the prospective clinical study (NCT03175848) initiated by us, focused on stage IVB cervical cancer. The main eligibility criteria were: (1) Pathologically confirmed diagnosis of CC: (2) Pathological evidence of at least one recurrence or metastatic lesion; (3) PCR positivity for a high-risk HPV subtype in pretreatment exfoliated cervical cells or a serum sample. All patients consented to the study protocol, including collection of blood samples throughout the study. Patients received chemotherapy with or without immunotherapy, targeted therapy, or radiotherapy (RT). Exclusion criteria: (1) Non-HPV-related cervical cancer; (2) No measurable lesions; (3) Synchronous or multiple cancers; (4) Patient refusal to provide blood samples; (5) Patient refusal to undergo regular follow-up and periodic imaging evaluations. For patients with primary stage IVB CC who had a single sample collected, the sample was obtained at treatment initiation. For the group undergoing longitudinal sampling, patients with primary stage IVB CC had three to five blood samples collected at treatment initiation, midtreatment, and during follow-up, while patients with recurrent CC had three blood samples collected during treatment, starting from enrollment. For all serum specimens, HPV cfDNA was quantified using ddPCR. For patients with squamous cell CC in the sequential sampling group, concurrent SCC-Ag testing was performed at a time point that matched, or was within 7 days before or after, the HPV cfDNA sampling. The 2018 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging criteria were applied. Each patient underwent routine imaging assessments, and treatment efficacy was evaluated according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST version 1.1). During treatment, imaging evaluations are typically conducted every two cycles of chemotherapy. Imaging assessments are also conducted before and after radiotherapy. For patients receiving immunotherapy or targeted therapy maintenance, assessments should be performed at least every 3 months. In follow-up, imaging is recommended every 3 months for the first 2 years and every 6 months from years 3 to 5, though this schedule may be adjusted based on individual patient needs. We defined lymph node metastasis as metastasis in paraaortic lymph nodes or distant lymph nodes, such as supraclavicular, inguinal, or mediastinal nodes. We classified patterns of CC recurrence or metastasis into five groups: local recurrence (LR); lymph node metastasis (LNM); hematogenous metastasis (HM); lymph node + hematogenous metastasis (LN + HM); and lymph node + hematogenous + diffuse serosal metastasis (LN + H + DSM). DSM encompasses metastases to the peritoneal, pleural, or pericardial regions. Prior to

initiating treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), tumor tissue specimens should be tested for PD-L1 expression using immunohistochemistry (IHC), provided that tumor samples are available. The PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx assay is used for testing, and PD-L1 expression in cervical cancer is quantified by the Combined Positive Score (CPS). The Ethics Committee of Zhejiang Cancer Hospital approved the study.



Figure 1. CONSORT flow diagram showing the enrollment of an observational cohort of patients diagnosed with recurrent or metastatic HPV-positive cervical cancer undergoing serum HPV cell-free DNA surveillance.

HPV diagnostics and typing

HPV genotypes were determined via routine PCR for exfoliated cervical cells or serum samples collected before treatment. Patients were excluded from the study if both samples tested negative. A commercial PCR testing kit (Hybribio HPV Genotyping Detection Kit), designed primarily for qualitative genotyping of HPV DNA extracted from exfoliated cervical cells, was routinely used. HPV genotyping detection was performed using PCR combined with the hybridization method. This kit can detect 21 HPV genotypes, including 8 low-risk genotypes and 13 high-risk genotypes. The 13 high-risk genotypes include: HPV16, HPV18, HPV31, HPV33, HPV35, HPV39, HPV45, HPV54, HPV54, HPV54, HPV56, HPV56, HPV59, and HPV68.

The following steps outline the procedure: A disposable cervical exfoliation brush is placed at the cervical opening and rotated gently clockwise five times. The brush is then transferred to a sample tube containing 10 mL of cell preservation solution. The tube is stored at 4°C and tested within one week. The sample is centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 15 minutes, and the supernatant is discarded. Next, 200 µL of cell preservation solution is added to resuspend the cells. DNA is extracted using the QlAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and PCR amplification is performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (total reaction volume: $20 \,\mu$ L/sample), followed by setting baseline and threshold values for result interpretation. One patient tested positive for HPV type 6 via PCR on cervical exfoliated cells, with no high-risk HPV genotypes detected. IHC for P16 on CC tissue was negative, leading to the classification of the tumor as non-HPV-associated and exclusion from the study.

Samples

Serum was extracted from peripheral whole blood samples at the Radiobiology Laboratory of Zhejiang Cancer Hospital. A 5-mL aliquot of whole blood was collected into a yellow-top blood collection tube and allowed to clot at room temperature for 30 minutes. After centrifugation at $2,000 \times \text{g}$ for 10 minutes in a refrigerated centrifuge, the serum was carefully transferred into polypropylene tubes in 1-mL aliquots and stored at -80° C. Frozen serum samples were subsequently transported to the Oncology Research Institute of Zhejiang Cancer Hospital for DNA extraction.

Procedure for ddPCR analysis

Before analysis, serum samples were thawed and centrifuged at 2,000 × g at 4°C for 10 minutes for DNA extraction. In accordance with the manufacturer's protocol, HPV cfDNA was isolated from 2 mL of serum using a QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The DNA was eluted twice through a column for purification, resulting in 60 μ L of eluate that was stored at -80°C until analysis. Primers and probes for ddPCR detection were designed based on E7 gene sequences of the target HPV genotypes to generate amplicons of varying length (Supplementary Table 1). Each ddPCR reaction used 30 μ L of DNA template. According to the manufacturer's instructions for the QIAcuity QX-200 ddPCR platform (Qiagen), ddPCR reactions consisted of 40 μ L of reaction mixture per well that included the primers, probes, and template. The reactions were amplified in QIAcuity 26,000 24-well Nanoplates (Qiagen) under the following conditions: initial enzyme activation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 50 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 seconds and annealing

at 60°C for 30 seconds. The exposure time for imaging of partitions was 400 ms for fluorescein amidite (FAM) and 300 ms for 2'-chloro-7'-phenyl-1,4-dichloro-6-carboxyfluorescein (VIC). Data analysis was performed using QIAcuity software version 2.1.7 (Qiagen) to quantify HPV copy numbers.

HPV cfDNA monitoring protocol

Participants were enrolled on a rolling basis, and the first serum sample collected was regarded as the baseline sample. A baseline sample was categorized as a treatment initiation sample if it was collected between Day-14 and Day+30 preceding initial treatment in patients with primary stage IVB CC, or before treatment for relapse or disease progression in patients with recurrent CC.

For patients with sequential samples, the time at which the initial blood sample was collected was designated as time 0. Up to four additional Blood samples were collected at intervals ranging from 8 to 962 days, with a median of 73 days and a mean of 128 days, and blood collection continued for up to 1513 days. Blood sample collection was coordinated with patient treatment and follow-up times to the greatest extent possible for measurement of HPV cfDNA levels (copies/mL). Serum SCC-Ag levels are routinely assessed multiple times before, during, and after treatment for patients with squamous cell CC at our hospital using an Abbott Architect instrument (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA). To ensure consistency in the analysis, we selected the SCC-Ag values measured simultaneously with the HPV serum samples, or chose the most recent available SCC-Ag values within 7 days. SCC-Ag levels < 1.5 ng/mL were classified as normal, while levels >= 1.5 ng/mL were classified as elevated. The upper limit of detection was 70 ng/mL. For statistical purposes, clinical test results exceeding 70 ng/mL were treated as 70 ng/mL.

Following the literature ^{[20][21]}, serum samples were deemed HPV-positive if at least three droplets containing HPV amplicons were identified. Samples with fewer than three droplets containing HPV amplicons or no amplicons detected were categorized as HPV-negative. Serum HPV cfDNA levels were quantified as copies/mL.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess the difference in the number of viral DNA copies between two groups or two metastasis patterns. Kendall's τ correlation test was used to determine the coefficient of correlation between two factors. Rate comparisons were conducted using Fisher's exact test. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed to calculate the hazard ratio with a 95% confidence interval (CI), using the Cox model. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from diagnosis until death from any cause or the last follow-up date (December 31, 2023). All P-values reported are two-tailed, with statistical significance defined as P < 0.05.

Results

Patient characteristics

Our study included 28 patients diagnosed with HPV-positive advanced CC treated at our hospital, comprising 21 cases (75%) with primary stage IVB CC and seven cases

(25%) with recurrence and metastasis after treatment. The clinical characteristics of the patients are listed in **Table 1**. The median age at diagnosis was 52 years (range 34– 67 years). In total, 76 serum samples were obtained from the cohort, consisting of 69 longitudinal samples from 21 patients (3–5 samples per patient) and single-time samples from seven patients at treatment initiation. Of the baseline samples, 25 (89%) were obtained at treatment initiation. HPV cfDNA was quantified in all serum samples

via ddPCR. Sixteen patients received ICIs, of whom 12 also received concurrent targeted therapy, while four received ICIs alone. Three patients with stage IVB disease were treated with Paclitaxel/Cisplatin (TP) + Bevacizumab (Bev) + ICIs as first-line therapy, and 13 patients received ICIs after disease progression. The specific treatment regimens and HPV cfDNA copy numbers for each patient are provided in **Supplementary Table 2**.

Ν	All patients (n=28)	Primary IVB stage (n=21)	Recurrence or metastasis (n=7)		
Age (years)					
Median age (range)	52(34-67)	51(34-66)	53(37-67)		
Pathological types(n)					
Squamous cell carcinoma	26	20	6		
Adenocarcinoma	1	0	1		
Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma	1	1	0		
HPV subtype					
16	20	14	6		
58	3	3	0		
18	2	2	0		
31	1	0	1		
66	1	1	0		
16,33	1	1	0		
Baseline serum sampling time					
Treatment initiation	25	20	5		
During treatment	3	1	2		
pattern of metastasis					
Local recurrence	1	0	1		
Lymphatic node metastasis	11	10	1		
Hematogenous metastasis	4	2	2		
Lymph node + hematogenous metastasis	9	8	1		
Lymph node+hematogenous+diffuse serosal metastasis	3	1	2		
Treatment modality					
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	18	18	0		
Surgeries	2	0	2		
Adjuvant chemotherapy	25	18	7		
Radiotherapy/concurrent chemoradiotherapy	25	18	7		
Targeted therapy	12	7	5		
Immunotherapy	16	9	7		

Table 1. Characteristics of patients with metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer

Validity of the test for HPV cfDNA detection and genotyping

To validate the consistency of HPV genotyping between serum, and exfoliated cervical cells from the same patient, we conducted conventional PCR genotyping of HPV cfDNA in baseline serum. The analysis revealed that only 12/28 patients (42.9%) tested positive, however, the genotyping outcomes were entirely consistent with those obtained from matched cervical exfoliated cells. Subsequently, the 28 baseline serum samples underwent qualitative and quantitative assessment using ddPCR. The results revealed that all 28 patients (100%) tested positive for HPV cfDNA, with HPV typing showing complete concordance (100%) with PCR results from matched cervical exfoliated cells. HPV genotyping revealed that HPV16, HPV58, HPV18, and other genotypes (HPV31, HPV66, HPV33) accounted for 72.4%, 10.3%, 6.9%, and 10.3%, respectively (Figure 2A).

Correlation between tumor metastasis pattern and baseline HPV cfDNA

Analysis for the study cohort revealed an association between tumor metastatic pattern and baseline HPV cfDNA levels. According to their metastatic status at baseline, the patients were categorized into two groups: the Single–Metastasis Pattern (SMP) group (LR, LNM, or HM); and the Multi–Metastatic Pattern (MMP) group (LN + H \pm DSM). The baseline copy number significantly differed among the five recurrence/metastasis pattern groups (*P* = 0.019, with Kruskal–Wallis test) and tended to increase with the degree of metastasis (Figure 2B). In the subsequent group comparisons, the LR group was excluded due to containing only a single value, leaving four groups for comparison. Significant differences were observed in two comparisons: LNM vs. LN + H + DSM (*P* = 0.006) and HM vs. LN + H + DSM (*P* = 0.036). No significant differences were found between the other groups: LNM vs. LN + HM (*P* = 0.079), HM vs. LN + HM (*P* = 0.145), as determined by the Mann–Whitney U test (Figure 2B). The

median baseline HPV cfDNA copy number was significantly higher in the MMP group than in the SMP group (P=0.003, with Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 2C).

Baseline samples for patients with primary stage IVB cervical cancer were collected between Day -14 to +30 relative to the initial treatment. Some patients provided pretreatment samples, while others provided post-treatment samples, with the latter potentially influenced by the treatment itself. To assess whether there was a significant difference in baseline HPV cfDNA levels before and after treatment (within 30 days), a statistical analysis was performed. To minimize the impact of HPV genotypes, we focused on the baseline HPV cfDNA values of 14 HPV16-positive patients with primary stage IVB CC (6 pre-treatment and 8 post-treatment samples). The median HPV cfDNA value for pre-treatment samples was 8.01 × 10⁴ copies/mL (range 7.5 × 10³-9.7 × 10⁶ copies/mL), while the median for post-treatment samples was 3.5 × 10⁴ copies/mL (range 4.7 × 10²-7.4 × 10⁶ copies/mL). "The difference between the two groups was not statistically significant (P = 0.414, with Mann-Whitney U test).



Figure 2. (A) Serum HPV cfDNA copy number for six HPV genotypes at baseline. (B) Baseline HPV cfDNA copy numbers for five recurrence/metastasis subgroups. Statistical significance was determined using a two-sided Kruskal-Wallis test. Abbreviations: LR, local recurrence; LNM, lymph node metastasis; HM, hematogenous metastasis; LN + HM, lymph node + hematogenous metastasis; LN + H + DSM, lymph node + hematogenous + diffuse serosal metastasis. (C) Relationship between HPV cfDNA copy number and metastatic pattern. Statistical significance was determined using a two-sided Mann-Whitney U test. All plots show the median and interquartile range on a log10 scale.

HPV cfDNA as a predictor of treatment response or failure

We followed the enrolled patients for an average of 24.1 months (range 2.1 to 77.8 months). Figure 3 shows temporal changes in serum HPV cfDNA levels. In all patients with longitudinal testing, changes in HPV cfDNA levels occurred at a median of 2 months (range 0.3–16.9 months) before imaging confirmation of a treatment response or disease progression. Six patients with squamous cell carcinoma who experienced clinical disease progression during treatment (as per RECIST) exhibited an elevation in HPV cfDNA copy numbers before imaging-confirmed progression. The median time from detection of elevated plasma HPV cfDNA to imaging confirmation of disease progression was 4.2 months (range 1.9–16.9 months; Figure 3A1). Similarly, we observed a consistent decrease in HPV cfDNA copy numbers in 16 patients before imaging confirmed a treatment response. The median time from detection of a decrease in HPV cfDNA to imaging confirmation of a decrease in HPV cfDNA to imaging confirmation of a decrease in HPV cfDNA to imaging confirmation of a decrease in HPV cfDNA to imaging confirmation of a decrease in HPV cfDNA to imaging confirmation of a decrease in HPV cfDNA to imaging confirmation of a decrease in HPV cfDNA to imaging confirmation of a decrease in HPV cfDNA to imaging confirmation of disease progression was 1.2 months (range 0.3–2.8 months; Figure 3B1-D1).

For patients in whom systemic cytotoxic chemotherapy was effective, a significant decrease in HPV cfDNA levels could be detected after chemotherapy. For two patients with high HPV cfDNA levels of 3.1×10^6 copies/mL and 1.7×10^6 copies/mL at baseline, the HPV cfDNA copy number decreased significantly following one or two cycles of paclitaxel + cisplatin (TP) chemotherapy (median 98.2%, range 96.7–99.5%). The rate of decline in viral load slowed after the subsequent cycle of TP chemotherapy, with a median decline of 51.3% (range 39-63.5%). In addition, a patient with baseline HPV cfDNA of 2.8×10^4 copies/mL experienced a 45% reduction in copy number following one cycle of TP chemotherapy (Figure 3B1).

We observed that changes in HPV cfDNA levels may indicate a response to combined immunotherapy and targeted therapy. One patient diagnosed with primary stage IVB CC and multiple metastases (LN + H + DSM) tested positive for HPV33 and HPV16 in exfoliated cervical cells and serum. The patient received four cycles of TP and concurrent chemoradiotherapy plus brachytherapy for the pelvic-abdominal primary focus, followed by two additional TP cycles. The HPV33 viral load decreased from a pretreatment level of 1.5 \times 10^7 copies/mL to 6.7 \times 10^4 copies/mL after treatment; however, the HPV16 viral load increased from 7.7 \times 10² to 1.2 \times 10⁴ copies/mL. Subsequent imaging evaluation after 58 days indicated the emergence of new foci in the lungs, prompting adjustments to the patient's treatment plan. Guided by the immunohistochemical presence of PD-L1-positive cells (CPS = 10) in the primary cervical lesion, the patient received one cycle of TP + Bev + ICIs treatment. This regimen led to a swift decrease in viral levels of both HPV genotypes after 36 days, with HPV 33 reaching undetectable levels and HPV 16 decreasing to 7.8 \times 10^2 copies/mL (Figure 3C1). Three patients with stage IVB disease received TP + Bev + ICIs as first-line therapy. Two patients achieved complete remission (CR) (CPS = 70 and CPS = 5), while one experienced disease progression (CPS = 1). HPV cfDNA levels closely correlated with the clinical outcomes of these patients, demonstrating a sensitive and effective response (Supplementary Table 2).

Serum HPV cfDNA levels also changed in response to RT. A transient rise (20.8-fold) in HPV cfDNA copy number was observed in a patient with stage IVB CC who experienced a 2-week interruption of RT due to grade IV thrombocytopenia. Following Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy (SBRT) to lung metastases, a patient with pulmonary oligometastases experienced a 29% decrease in HPV cfDNA copy number. Another patient, diagnosed with stage IVB CC and pelvic bone metastases, who underwent radical concurrent chemoradiotherapy targeting both the primary and metastatic lesions exhibited a notable reduction in HPV cfDNA levels (Fig. 3DI).



Figure 3. Paired plots for serum HPV cfDNA (copies/mL) and squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC-Ag) (ng/mL) levels were measured longitudinally in patients with metastatic or recurrent HPV-positive cervical cancer (each patient is represented by a line of the same color). HPV cfDNA levels in serum were scaled using log10. (A1, A2) Patients whose disease progressed during treatment. (B1, B2) Selected patients who showed a response to cytotoxic chemotherapy. (C1, C2) Response to immune therapy in a patient with stage IVB cervical cancer positive for HPV genotypes 33 and 16, treated with paclitaxel + cisplatin, concurrent chemoradiotherapy, brachytherapy, and bevacizumab. (D1, D2) Selected patients with a response to radiotherapy. (E1, E2) HPV cfDNA levels were significantly higher than normal at some of the longitudinal time points in five patients, but SCC-Ag levels were within the normal range (< 1.5 ng/mL) at all time points. (F1, F2) HPV cfDNA levels for all patients with longitudinal samples (n = 21) and matched SCC-Ag levels for the patients with squamous cell cancer (n = 20). Each colored line corresponds to one patient, except in C. Horizontal dashed lines indicate the days between plasma HPV cfDNA levels suggestive of a response or progression (rise or fall in levels) and imaging-confirmed changes in disease status. The associated number of days (D) is listed adjacent to the horizontal dashed line for comparison. It should be noted that not all HPV cfDNA data points are plotted for each patient.

Correlation between HPV cfDNA and SCC-Ag

There were 26 patients with squamous cell CC in the study cohort. All 26 (100%) had elevated serum HPV cfDNA at baseline, but only 18/26 patients (69.2%) had elevated SCC-Ag at baseline (P = 0.004, 95% CI, 0-0.391, with Fisher's exact test). Among 72 serum samples from patients with squamous cell CC, the median HPV cfDNA level was 1.7×10⁴ copies/mL (range 0–1.4×10⁷ copies/mL) and the median SCC-Ag level was 2.6 ng/mL (range 0.4-70 ng/mL). There was no significant correlation between SCC-Ag and HPV cfDNA levels (R^2 = 0.034, P = 0.120, with Kendall's τ correlation test). For patients with squamous cell CC who had longitudinal monitoring (n = 20), the concordance with disease change was 90% for HPV cfDNA and 50% for SCC-Ag (P = 0.014. 95% CI. 0.022-0.621. with Fisher's exact test). Comparison of matched serum HPV cfDNA and SCC-Ag levels for patients with squamous cell CC (Figure 3A-D) revealed that HPV cfDNA exhibited dynamic fluctuations, while serum SCC-Ag levels in the majority of patients rapidly decreased to near or below the normal range (<1.5 ng/mL) following initiation of treatment. During the course of treatment, SCC-Ag levels remained within the normal range (< 1.5 ng/mL) at all time points in five patients, but matched serum HPV cfDNA showed fluctuating changes above normal values at some time points. (Figure 3E1, E2).

Correlation between HPV cfDNA and survival

The 5-year OS rate for the entire cohort was 42.3%, with median OS of 52.1 months at median follow-up of 42.3 months (range 10.2–88.5 months). As of December 31, 2023, there were 12 patient deaths and 20 disease progression events. Analysis of survival by HPV genotypes revealed that the difference in OS between the HPV16+ group and the

non-HPV16+ group was not statistically significant (P = 0.052, with log-rank test; Figure 4A). Univariate and multivariate analyses were conducted to evaluate the association between clinicopathologic factors and patient OS, with no factors identified as significantly impacting OS. The results of the univariate analysis are shown in Supplementary Table 3. Correlation analysis of baseline HPV cfDNA copy number with mortality and OS outcomes revealed no significant association (R²= -0.111, P = 0.486; R²= -0.037, P = 0.782, with Kendall's τ correlation test).

Patients with primary stage IVB CC (n = 21) were stratified by baseline median HPV cfDNA level using 3.9×10^4 copies/mL as the dichotomization threshold. The difference in OS between the groups with $\geq 3.9 \times 10^4$ copies/mL and $< 3.9 \times 10^4$ copies/mL at baseline was not statistically significant (P = 0.111, with log-rank test; Figure 4B). Survival analysis of 21 consecutively monitored patients, categorized by the trend in HPV cfDNA levels, revealed two groups: 12 patients with decreasing levels and 9 with increasing levels. The OS difference between these groups was not significant (P = 0.866, with log-rank test). Additionally, patients were divided into two groups based on whether HPV cfDNA levels decreased to normal: three patients with normalization and 18 without. The OS difference between these groups was also not significant (P = 0.590, with log-rank test).



Figure 4. (A) Overall survival for patients stratified by HPV subtype (HPV 16+ versus non-HPV16+). (B) Overall survival for patients stratified by baseline serum HPV cfDNA copy number, using a cutoff of 3.9×10⁴ copies/mL. P-values were calculated using a two-sided log-rank test.

Discussion

We conducted a prospective pilot observational study in patients with metastatic or recurrent CC to analyze ddPCR HPV cfDNA results in relation to SCC-Ag levels, clinical treatment responses, and prognosis. The study findings confirm the significant clinical potential of dynamic HPV cfDNA surveillance for CC. Firstly, we observed a correlation between baseline HPV cfDNA copy number and recurrence/metastasis patterns. Secondly, dynamically monitored HPV cfDNA levels appeared to predict treatment response and disease progression. Finally, in monitoring HPV-associated recurrent and metastatic cervical cancer, HPV cfDNA may offer advantages over SCC-Ag. Our positivity rate for HPV cfDNA was 42.9% (12/28) when using PCR and 100% (28/28) when using ddPCR. We found 100% agreement in HPV typing results between exfoliated cervical cells and serum samples. These findings showed the high sensitivity and specificity of HPV cfDNA detection via ddPCR. A meta-analysis comparing the accuracy of different methods for HPV cfDNA detection in HPVpositive tumors revealed that NGS outperformed ddPCR and quantitative PCR in terms of sensitivity, while specificity remained consistent across all three methods [15]. We found that HPV cfDNA positivity in HPV-positive CC correlated positively with tumor stage, tumor load, and lymph node status. Consistent with our findings, a recent study demonstrated a serum HPV cfDNA positivity rate of 100% in HPV-positive metastatic CC [22]. Consequently, HPV cfDNA appears to be an ideal serum tumor marker for HPVpositive metastatic or recurrent CC, given the highly sensitive and specific detection methods available.

Studies have shown that HPV cfDNA levels correlate with disease stage, tumor size, tumor load, and lymph node status [23][24][25]. Accurate quantification of tumor load can be challenging, particularly in settings involving diffuse serosal metastases. Therefore, we classified recurrence/metastasis patterns into five categories and observed a significant difference in median baseline HPV cfDNA copy number among these (P = 0.019). Patients were categorized into SMP and MMP groups according to their recurrence/metastasis status at baseline. The MMP group had a higher median HPV cfDNA copy number at baseline than the SMP group (P = 0.003). Preliminary results indicate that baseline HPV cfDNA levels may be linked to recurrence/metastasis patterns, potentially reflecting tumor burden and spread. A study by Mittelstadt et al. involving 35 patients with CC also revealed correlation between HPV cfDNA levels and tumor load and spread [24]. Patients with multiple metastases are likely to have a higher tumor load with greater shedding of HPVcontaining DNA fragments, which can enter the blood circulation via several pathways, resulting in higher HPV cfDNA levels. However, this analysis has several limitations. It did not account for variations in cfDNA detection across HPV genotypes, which may arise from differences in detection rates or expression levels. Subgroup analysis by HPV genotype was not feasible due to the small sample size. These inherent differences may introduce bias, potentially acting as a confounding factor.

Future studies will expand the sample size and include subgroup analyses by HPV genotype to better elucidate the relationship between HPV cfDNA copy number and recurrence/metastasis patterns.

In our study, changes in HPV cfDNA levels frequently preceded confirmation of disease changes on imaging scans. Several studies demonstrated that changes in HPV cfDNA copy number are associated with response to therapy for HPV-positive tumors [22][24][25]. We found that the median time from the onset of a change in HPV cfDNA copy number to imaging confirmation of a treatment response or disease progression was 2 months (range 0.3-16.9 months). Another study reported analogous findings in HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancer: changes in HPV cfDNA copy number were observed at a median of 16 days (range 12-38 days) before imaging confirmation of treatment response or disease progression in all 22 patients enrolled [26]. These findings suggest that HPV cfDNA can serve as a sensitive marker and a valuable clinical indicator. In addition, our results indicate that HPV cfDNA can serve as a tool for monitoring the effectiveness of RT. Among patients receiving effective RT, HPV cfDNA levels gradually declined throughout the treatment; however, interruptions in RT could lead to transient increases in HPV cfDNA levels. Moreover, our results demonstrate that the combination of immunotherapy and targeted therapy, along with cytotoxic chemotherapy, enhances tumor cell death and clearance of HPV cfDNA in vivo, surpassing the effects of cytotoxic chemotherapy alone. Our findings suggest that HPV cfDNA holds promise as a tool for evaluating the effectiveness of novel therapies such as immunotherapy and targeted therapy and informing subsequent maintenance treatment strategies for patients.

While SCC-Ag is acknowledged as a serum tumor marker for squamous cell CC [27][28] [29], its clinical sensitivity and specificity for monitoring treatment responses are limited [30][31]. We compared HPV cfDNA and corresponding SCC-Ag levels in 72 serum samples and found no correlation between the two data sets ($R^2 = 0.03$, P = 0.11). HPV cfDNA exhibited several advantages as a serum tumor marker in our study cohort. First, the positivity rate was 100% for HPV cfDNA versus 69.2% for SCC-Ag at baseline (P = 0.004, 95% CI, 0-0.391). Second, changes in HPV cfDNA copy number showed greater concordance with disease progression in the group of 20 patients with squamous cell CC with longitudinal monitoring, with a concordance rate of 90% versus 50% for SCC-Ag (P = 0.014, 95% CI, 0.022-0.621). Finally, HPV cfDNA provides more comprehensive information for dynamic monitoring in comparison to SCC-Ag, as SCC-Ag levels consistently remained within the normal range in some patients. Thus, HPV cfDNA may be a more useful serum marker than SCC-Ag in patients with metastatic or recurrent CC. The lack of correlation between SCC-Ag and HPV cfDNA levels can be attributed to several factors. Firstly, the mechanisms underlying SCC-Ag and HPV cfDNA production differ, leading to distinct treatment responses and dynamic trends. Secondly, the detection methods vary: HPV cfDNA is quantitatively measured by ddPCR, an exponential method, while SCC-Ag is quantified by counting, resulting in significant differences in measurement outcomes. Thirdly, the positivity rates for HPV cfDNA and SCC-Ag differ in this study, further weakening their correlation. In clinical practice, a panel of serum tumor markers is commonly used to monitor baseline conditions prior to treatment. In cases where SCC-Ag is negative, other serum markers may be positive, aiding in the assessment of treatment efficacy and disease progression. Future research into the differing treatment responses of HPV cfDNA and SCC-Ag may help optimize the use of cervical cancer serum biomarkers and their combination.

The 5-year OS rate for the study cohort was 42.3% and the median OS time was 52.1 months. This good outcome was due to active systemic treatment (chemotherapy + immunotherapy) and local treatment (RT to primary and metastatic foci). Therefore, noninvasive dynamic monitoring using serum tumor markers is important for this population. Our data showed that correlation between OS and HPV genotypes (HPV16+ vs non-HPV16+) did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.052). However, we observed a trend towards better prognosis for patients with HPV16+ than for patients with positivity for other HPV genotypes, and further expansion of the sample size may yield positive results. In the analysis of baseline copy number in relation to prognosis, the difference in OS between the groups with baseline HPV cfDNA levels \geq 39×10⁴ and < 3.9×10⁶ copies/mL was not significant (P = 0.11). Previous studies reported a positive correlation between high baseline HPV cfDNA levels and poor prognosis in oropharyngeal cancer $\frac{[321[331][34]}{34}$.

Univariate and multivariate analyses, along with correlation analyses, were performed to evaluate factors influencing overall survival (OS) and assess the potential association between HPV cfDNA copy number and patient prognosis. However, the results were not statistically significant. Several factors may have contributed to this, including the small sample size, limited blood samples per patient, and considerable heterogeneity among patients with recurrent metastatic cervical cancer. Additionally, variables such as metastatic lesion status and treatment modalities (e.g., immunotherapy, targeted therapy, or radiotherapy) could have influenced the findings. Therefore, larger studies with extended follow-up, more frequent blood sample collection, and comprehensive analyses are needed to better elucidate the relationship between HPV cfDNA copy number and OS in cervical cancer.

The results of landmark clinical trials, including GOG240, Keynote158, and Keynote826, have integrated ICIs and targeted therapy into the clinical management of recurrent or metastatic CC, significantly improving patient survival [35][36][37]. This

shift was reflected in our enrollment process, where 16 patients received ICIs. Earlyphase patients were treated with conventional chemotherapy regimens. After the Keynote-158 study, 13 patients received ICIs upon disease progression, provided that their PD-L1 CPS \geq 1. Following the Keynote-826 study, three patients with stage IVB disease (LN 488 + HM) were treated with TP + Bev + ICIs as first-line therapy. Two patients (CPS = 70 and CPS = 5) achieved CR, and one (CPS = 1) had remission lasting 13.5 months despite progression. These results suggest that adding ICIs to first-line therapy significantly enhances efficacy in stage IVB cervical cancer. As illustrated in **Figure 3**, dynamic changes in HPV cfDNA levels in patient C indicate a substantial reduction after one cycle of TP + Bev + ICIs compared to TP chemotherapy and radiotherapy. When other serum markers, like SCC-Ag, were uninformative, HPV cfDNA served as a valuable biomarker, suggesting the potential effectiveness of combining Bev and ICIs. These findings underscore the utility of HPV cfDNA in monitoring the efficacy of ICIs and targeted therapies in HPV-associated cancers.

Our study has several limitations, including a small sample size and a heterogeneous sequential sampling protocol, which introduced variability in baseline blood sampling timing, sample quantities, and intervals between samplings during treatment. Additionally, the heterogeneity of cfDNA levels across different HPV genotypes (due to differences in expression levels or detection efficiencies) and baseline variability of HPV cfDNA within individual patients may also contribute to potential biases in the results. We are currently conducting a prospective study of stage IVB CC. Owing to the

absence of literature support for HPV cfDNA sampling protocols, we designed this initial exploratory study with a small sample size to clarify the value of HPV cfDNA monitoring and explore various sampling times and intervals. Our study results suggest that monitoring of HPV cfDNA is valuable before, during, and after treatment. Assessment of HPV cfDNA levels in every chemotherapy cycle (monthly) during treatment and every 3–6 months during follow-up may be a reasonable approach.

Conclusions

Our prospective study suggests that HPV cfDNA, with its high sensitivity and specificity, holds promise as a biomarker for monitoring treatment response and facilitating long-term follow-up in patients with recurrent or metastatic HPV-associated cervical cancer. The baseline copy number of HPV cfDNA may be associated with metastatic patterns, thereby reflecting tumor burden and the extent of spread to some extent. As a serum tumor marker, HPV cfDNA may outperform SCC-Ag in tracking disease dynamics and enabling timely assessment of treatment responses to chemotherapy, radiation, immunotherapy, and targeted therapies. These preliminary findings highlight HPV cfDNA's potential for monitoring treatment efficacy and predicting disease progression and recurrence in HPV-associated cancers. However, further validation through large-scale prospective trials is needed.

Supplementary Material

HPV subtype	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Probe	Amplicon size	Annealing temperature 60°C 60°C	
HPV16	TCCAGCTGGACAAGCAGAAC	CACAACCGAAGCGTAGAGTC	ACAGAGCCCATTACAAT	88 pb		
HPV18	AACATTTACCAGCCCGACGA	TCGTCTGCTGAGCTTTCTAC	AACCACAACGTCACACAA	106 pb 123 pb		
HPV31	CGTTACCTTTTGTTGTCAGTGT	GAACAGTTGGGGGCACACGA	ACAGAGCACACAAGTAG		56°C	
HPV33	CAGATGAGGATGAAGGCTTGGA	ACTGTTGACACATAAACGAACTG	CTTGTCCATCTGGCC	119 pb	56°C	
HPV58	CAGACGAGGATGAAATAGGCTTG	ATGTAGTAATTAGCTGTGGCCGG	CTTGTCCATCTGGCC	70 pb	56°C	
HPV66	CCGTTAACACCGGAGGAAAA	ATGACCCGGTCCATGCATAT	TGAACATAAAAGACGATTTC	82 pb	56°C	

Supplementary Table 1. Primer and probe sequences for ddPCR, fragment sizes, and annealing temperature.

No.	Age	IVB/R	Sample	НРV Туре	Pathology	PD- L1 CPS	Transfer model	Baseline HPV cfDNA	Treatment	HPV cfDNA	Treatment	HPV cfDNA	Treatment	HPV cfDNA	Treatment
1	57	R	Sequential	16	SC	5	LR	4.7×10^2	(TP+Bev)*6+ICIt*3-SD	5.2 × 10 ⁴	ICIt*16+ICIc*13-SD	6.3 × 10 ⁴	ICIc*5-PD	-	(nab-TP+BIc)*6+BIc*4- PR
2	50	IVB	Single	16	SC	-	LN	4.7×10^2	TP*3+RTpm+TP*2-CR	-	Follow up-CR	-			-
3	48	IVB	Sequential	66	SC	-	LN	8.5×10^2	TP*2+RTp+TP*1-PR	0	TP*1	0 RTm-CR		0	Follow up-PD
4	51	IVB	Single	16	SC	-	LN	2.8×10^3	TP*3+RTpm+TP*2-PD	-	(ICIk+Apatinib)*2- PD	I	Follow up-PD	-	-
5	46	IVB	Single	18	LCNEC	-	Н	4.0×10^3	EP*6-PR	-	(ICIk+Anotilib)*3- PD	I	nab-TP*2-PD	-	-
6	53	IVB	Sequential	58	SC	-	Н	6.6 × 10 ³	RTpm+BT*1-PR	1.5 × 10 ³	BT*4-CR	0	TC*2-CR	0	TC*1-CR
7	57	IVB	Single	16	SC	1	LN	7.5×10^3	RTp-PR	-	RTm-CR	I	Follow up-PD	-	-
8	49	IVB	Sequential	16	SC	-	LN+H	1.3×10^4	TP*2-PR	1.9 × 10 ⁴	TP*2+RTp+TP*1- PR	7.4 × 10 ⁴	TP*1+RTm-PD	-	-
9	67	R	Sequential	16	SC	60	LN	1.7×10^4	SBRT	1.2 × 10 ⁴	(TP+BIt)*1-PR	3.6 × 10 ³	BIt*5-PR	-	BIt*4-PR
10	62	IVB	Sequential	18	SC	1	LN+H	2.0×10^{4}	(TP+BIc)*4-PR	5.3 × 10 ²	(TP+BIc)*2+BIc*6- PR	7.1 × 10 ³	(BIc)*6-PR	-	(BIc)*3-PD
11	43	IVB	Sequential	16	SC	20	LN	2.8×10^{4}	(TP+Bev)*1	1.6 × 10 ⁴	(TP+Bev)*1+RTp- PR	4.6 × 10 ²	RTm-IT-PD	-	(TP+BIt)*4-PR
12	59	IVB	Sequential	16	SC	-	LN+H	3.0 × 10 ⁴	TP*1	3.2 × 10 ⁴	TP*1-IT-PD+RFA	0	(nab- T+ICIs)*1+ICIs*1- PD	2.0 × 10 ³	-
13	38	IVB	Sequential	16	SC	-	LN	3.9 × 10 ⁴	TP*1	1.3 × 10 ⁴	TP*1+RTpm+TP*3- PR	5.4 × 10 ⁴	TP*1-CR	-	Follow up-PD
14	36	IVB	Single	16	SC	-	LN	7.3 × 10 ⁴	TP*2+RTp+RTm+TP*2- CR	-	Follow up-CR	-	-	-	-
15	49	R	Sequential	16	AC	-	LN+H	4.2×10^4	(TP+BIs)*2-PR	9.3 × 10 ⁵	(TP+BIs)*1-PR	3.8 × 10 ⁴	(TP+BIs)*3+RTm- PR	-	BIs*4-PD
16	42	IVB	Sequential	16	SC	-	LN	7.0 × 10 ⁴	TP*2+RTpm+TP*1-CR	-	Follow up-CR	9.8 × 10 ³	Follow up-CR	1.7 × 10 ⁴	Follow up-CR
17	48	R	Sequential	16	SC	40	LN	7.2 × 10 ⁴	RTp-PR	2.4 × 10 ²	Follow up 303d-PD	2.2 × 10 ³	RTm+(TP+ICI*4)- CR	-	Follow up-CR
18	55	IVB	Sequential	16	SC	-	Н	9.0 × 10 ⁴	TP*2+RTpm+TP*4-PR	-	Follow up-PR	1.0 × 10 ⁴	Follow up-PR	8.4 × 10 ³	Follow up-PR
19	56	R	Sequential	16	SC	5	Н	1.8×10^5	(nab-TC+Bev)*2-PR	5.7 × 10 ²	(nab-TC+Bev)*1-PR	1.7 × 10 ⁴	(nab-TC+Bev)*2-PD	-	(nab-TP+Bev+ICIk)*4- PD
20	34	IVB	Single	16	SC	-	LN+H	1.8 × 10 ⁵	TP*4-PR	-	Dermatomyositis- IT	-	Follow up-PR	-	-
21	54	IVB	Single	16	SC	-	LN+H	1.9×10^5	TP*2-PR	-	EBRT+IT	-	Follow up-PD	-	-
22	55	IVB	Sequential	58	SC	25	LN	4.9 × 10 ⁵	(TP+Bev)*2+RTp-IT-PD	3.4 × 10 ⁶	(TP*Bev)*1-PD	3.7 × 10 ⁵	(nab- T+BIt)*1+RTm-PR	-	(nab-T+ICIt)*2+ICIt*2- PR
23	37	R	Sequential	16	SC	-	LN+H+DSM	1.7×10^6	(TP+Bev)*2-PR	5.6 × 10 ⁴	(TP+Bev)*2-PR	2.0 × 10 ⁴	(TP+Bev)*2+Bev*2- PD	-	(Bev+ICIt)*2-SD
24	66	IVB	Sequential	58	SC	-	LN+H	3.1 × 10 ⁶	TP*1	1.6 × 10 ⁴	TP*1-PR	9.0 × 10 ³	RTpm-CR	-	Follow up-PD
25	61	R	Sequential	31	SC	-	LN+H+DSM	3.8×10^6	RTm+(nab- T+ICIk)*1+G*1-PR	2.1 × 10 ⁶	ICIk*1	8.5 × 10 ⁵	ICI*1+(nab- T+ICIk)*1-PD	-	Follow up-PD
26	66	IVB	Sequential	16	SC	70	LN+H	7.4 × 10 ⁶	(TP+BIt)*6-PR	1.8 × 10 ⁴	RTpm+IT+BT*2-PR	3.3 × 10 ⁴	(BIt)*6-CR	-	ICIt*9-CR
27	36	IVB	Sequential	16	SC	5	LN+H	9.7 × 10 ⁶	(TP+BIt)*8-PR	1.3 × 10 ⁴	RTp+IT-PR	2.9 × 10 ⁵	BT*3-PR	1.5 × 10 ³	RTm+ICIt*1-CR
20	53	цтр	Comuniti 1	16	60	10	LN+H+DSM	7.7×10^2	TP*4+RTp-PR	1.9 × 10 ⁴	TP*2-PD	1.2 × 10 ⁴	(TP+BIt)*1-PR	8.5 × 10 ²	(TP+BIt)*1+SBRT+BIt*2 PD
28	23	IVB	sequential	33	<u>ы</u>	10	LN+H+DSM	1.5 × 10 ⁷	TP*4+RTp-PR	2.8 × 10 ⁵	TP*2-PD	6.7 × 10 ⁴	(TP+BIt)*1-PR	0	(TP+BIt)*1+SBRT+BIt*2 PD

Supplementary Table 2. HPV cfDNA Levels and Clinical Treatment and Outcomes in the Whole Group of Patients

Abbreviations: AC, adenocarcinoma; Bev, bevacizumab; BIc, bevacizumab+immune sindilizumab; BIt, Bevacizumab+immune checkpoint inhibitor-tislelizumab; BT, checkpoint inhibitor-cadonilimab; BIs, Bevacizumab+immune checkpoint inhibitor- brachytherapy; CPS, combined positive score; CR, complete response; D, death; DSM, diffuse

serosal metastasis; EBRT, external beam radiation therapy; HM, hematogenous metastasis; ICIc, immune checkpoint inhibitor-cadonilimab; ICIk, Immune checkpoint inhibitorpembrolizumab; ICIs, Immune checkpoint inhibitor-sindilizumab; ICIt, Immune checkpoint inhibitor-tislelizumab; IVB, First diagnosis of FIGO (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetric) stage; IT, Interrupt Treatment; LCNEC, large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma; LNM, lymph node metastasis; LR, local recurrence; nab-TP, nanoparticle albumin-bound

paclitaxel/cisplatin; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; R, recurrence; RFA, radiofrequency ablation; RTp, radiotherapy for the primary tumor; RTm, Radiotherapy for the metastatic lesion; RTpm, simultaneous radiotherapy of primary and metastatic lesions; SBRT, stereotactic body radiotherapy; SC, squamous cell carcinoma; SD, Stable Disease; TP, paclitaxel/cisplatin; TC, paclitaxel/carboplatin. It should be noted that due to the width limitations of the table, not all treatments for each patient are included in the table.

	No. of	Median	Univariate analysis		
Variable	patients	OS(m)	HR (95% CI)	p-value	
Age					
≥ 52 years	14	52.1	0.95(0.29-3.12)	0.927	
< 52 years	14	50.2	1		
HPV genotypes					
HPV16+	20	88.5	0.34(0.08-1.43)	0.052	
Non-HPV16+	8	32	1		
Histological subtype					
Squamous cell carcinoma	26	52.1	0.30(0.03-3.47)	0.098	
Non-squamous cell carcinoma	2	30.7	1		
Primary or Recurrence					
Primary IVB stage	21	39.8	2.64(0.85-8.22)	0.056	
Recurrence or metastasis	7	88.5			
Pattern of metastasis					
LR or LNM or HM	16	88.5	0.46(0.14-1.46)	0.233	
LN + H ± DSM	12	37.2			
Immunotherapy					
Yes	16	52.1	1.10(0.35-3.45)	0.862	
No	12	-			
Targeted therapy					
Yes	12	88.5	0.54(0.17-1.67)	0.277	
No	16	39.8			
Median HPV cfDNA					
< 1.6 × 10 ⁴	15	39.2	1.34(0.43-4.14)	0.603	
≥1.6 × 10 ⁴	13	52.1			
Baseline HPV cfDNA					
<4.1 × 10 ⁴	14	39.8	1.40(0.47-4.16)	0.535	
≥4.1 × 10 ⁴	14	52.1			

Supplementary Table 3. Univariate analysis of prognostic factors for overall survival (n=28)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; DSM, diffuse serosal metastasis; HM, hematogenous metastasis; HR, hazard ratio; LNM, lymph node metastasis; LR, local recurrence; OS, overall survival.

Statements and Declarations

Conflicts of interest

The authors make the statement that there is no conflict of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgements

We thank the follow-up in the follow-up room of Zhejiang Provincial Cancer Hospital.

Funding

This work was supported by the Key R&D Program of Zhejiang (2022C04001), the Zhejiang Province Medicine and Health Science and Technology Program (2020KY454), the Zhejiang Science and Technology Department Public Welfare Project (LGF22H160075).

Ethical conduct of research

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Zhejiang Cancer Hospital and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Data Availability

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article may be made available by the authors upon reasonable request, pending ethical and institutional approvals where applicable. Inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author Contributions

- Conceptualization: Z.Y. and H.L.
- Methodology: Z.Y., T.F., Q.X., W.D., M.N.
- Validatione: Z.Y., J.N., M.N.
- Formal Analysise: Z.Y. and M.N.
- Investigation, Z.Y., T.F., Q.X., W.D., M.N., J.N., H.L.
- Resources: Z.Y. and H.L.
- Data Curation: Z.Y., Q.X., J.N.
- Writing Original Draft Preparation: Z.Y.
- Writing Review & Editing: T.F., Q.X., W.D., M.N., J.N., H.L.
- Visualization: Z.Y. and T.F.
- Supervision: N.J. and H.L.
- Project Administration: Z.Y.
- Funding Acquisition: Z.Y. and H.L.

References

- ^ASung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. (2021). "Glo bal Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwid e for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries." CA Cancer J Clin. 71(3):209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.216 60.
- ^ASzymonowicz KA, Chen J (2020). "Biological and clinical aspects of HPV-related cance rs." Cancer Biol Med. 17(4):864–78. doi: 10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2020.0370.
- ^ACibula D, Pötter R, Planchamp F, Avall-Lundqvist E, Fischerova D, Haie Meder C, et al. (2018). "The European Society of Gynaecological Oncology/European Society for Radio therapy and Oncology/European Society of Pathology guidelines for the management of patients with cervical cancer." Radiother Oncol. 127(3):404–16. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2 018.03.003.

- 4. ^{a.} <u>b</u>Gennigens C, Jerusalem G, Lapaille L, De Cuypere M, Streel S, Kridelka F, et al. (202 2). "Recurrent or primary metastatic cervical cancer: current and future treatments." E SMO Open. 7(5):100579. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2022.100579.
- 5. △Chan KCA, Woo JKS, King A, Zee BCY, Lam WKJ, Chan SL, et al. (2017). "Analysis of Pla sma Epstein-Barr Virus DNA to Screen for Nasopharyngeal Cancer." N Engl J Med. 377 (6):513–22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1701717.
- 6. ≜Rothwell DG, Ayub M, Cook N, Thistlethwaite F, Carter L, Dean E, et al. (2019). "Utility of ctDNA to support patient selection for early phase clinical trials: the TARGET study." Nat Med. 25(5):738–43. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0380-z.
- 7. ^AZill OA, Banks KC, Fairclough SR, Mortimer SA, Vowles JV, Mokhtari R, et al. (2018). "T he Landscape of Actionable Genomic Alterations in Cell-Free Circulating Tumor DNA fr om 21,807 Advanced Cancer Patients." Clin Cancer Res. 24(15):3528–38. doi: 10.1158/107 8-0432.Ccr-17-3837.
- 8. [△]Alix-Panabières C, Pantel K (2016). "Clinical Applications of Circulating Tumor Cells a nd Circulating Tumor DNA as Liquid Biopsy." Cancer Discov. 6(5):479–91. doi: 10.1158/2 159-8290.Cd-15-1483.
- ^ADawson SJ, Rosenfeld N, Caldas C (2013). "Circulating tumor DNA to monitor metastat ic breast cancer." N Engl J Med. 369(1):93–4. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1306040.
- 10. [≜]Tie J, Wang Y, Tomasetti C, Li L, Springer S, Kinde I, et al. (2016). "Circulating tumor D NA analysis detects minimal residual disease and predicts recurrence in patients with s tage II colon cancer." Sci Transl Med. 8(346):346ra92. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf6219.
- [≜]Okunade KS (2020). "Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer." J Obstet Gynaecol. 40(5):602–8. doi: 10.1080/01443615.2019.1634030.
- ^ANarisawa-Saito M, Kiyono T (2007). "Basic mechanisms of high-risk human papillom avirus-induced carcinogenesis: roles of E6 and E7 proteins." Cancer Sci. 98(10):1505–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2007.00546.x.
- 13. [△]Jeannot E, Becette V, Campitelli M, Calméjane MA, Lappartient E, Ruff E, et al. (2016). "Circulating human papillomavirus DNA detected using droplet digital PCR in the seru m of patients diagnosed with early stage human papillomavirus-associated invasive c arcinoma." J Pathol Clin Res. 2(4):201–9. doi: 10.1002/cjp2.47.
- 14. [△]Carow K, Gölitz M, Wolf M, Häfner N, Jansen L, Hoyer H, et al. (2017). "Viral-Cellular D NA Junctions as Molecular Markers for Assessing Intra-Tumor Heterogeneity in Cervic al Cancer and for the Detection of Circulating Tumor DNA." Int J Mol Sci. 18(10). doi: 10. 3390/ijms18102032.
- ^{a.} ^bNaegele S, Ruiz-Torres DA, Zhao Y, Goss D, Faden DL (2024). "Comparing the Diagno stic Performance of Quantitative PCR, Digital Droplet PCR, and Next-Generation Seque ncing Liquid Biopsies for Human Papillomavirus-Associated Cancers." J Mol Diagn. 26 (3):179–90. doi: 10.1016/j.jmoldx.2023.11.007.
- 16. △Mazurek AM, Rutkowski TW (2023). "Practical Application of Circulating Tumor-Rela ted DNA of Human Papillomavirus in Liquid Biopsy to Evaluate the Molecular Respons e in Patients with Oropharyngeal Cancer." Cancers (Basel). 15(4). doi: 10.3390/cancers15 041047.
- 17. [≜]Chatfield-Reed K, Roche VP, Pan Q (2021). "cfDNA detection for HPV+ squamous cell c arcinomas." Oral Oncol. 115:104958. doi: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2020.104958.
- ÅNabet BY, Esfahani MS, Moding EJ, Hamilton EG, Chabon JJ, Rizvi H, et al. (2020). "No ninvasive Early Identification of Therapeutic Benefit from Immune Checkpoint Inhibiti on." Cell. 183(2):363–76.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.001.
- ^Arip M, Tan LF, Jayaraj R, Abdullah M, Rajagopal M, Selvaraja M (2022). "Exploration of biomarkers for the diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of cervical cancer: a review." Discov Oncol. 13(1):91. doi: 10.1007/s12672-022-00551-9.
- 20. [△]Jeannot E, Latouche A, Bonneau C, Calméjane MA, Beaufort C, Ruigrok-Ritstier K, et a l. (2021). "Circulating HPV DNA as a Marker for Early Detection of Relapse in Patients with Cervical Cancer." Clin Cancer Res. 27(21):5869–77. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-21-0 625.
- 21. △Cabel L, Jeannot E, Bieche I, Vacher S, Callens C, Bazire L, et al. (2018). "Prognostic Imp act of Residual HPV ctDNA Detection after Chemoradiotherapy for Anal Squamous Cell Carcinoma." Clin Cancer Res. 24(22):5767–71. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-18-0922.
- 22. ^{a, b}Kang Z, Stevanović S, Hinrichs CS, Cao L (2017). "Circulating Cell-free DNA for Metas tatic Cervical Cancer Detection, Genotyping, and Monitoring." Clin Cancer Res. 23(22):6

856-62. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-17-1553.

- 23. △Cabel L, Bonneau C, Bernard-Tessier A, Héquet D, Tran-Perennou C, Bataillon G, et al. (2021). "HPV ctDNA detection of high-risk HPV types during chemoradiotherapy for loc ally advanced cervical cancer." ESMO Open. 6(3):100154. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2021.100 154.
- 24. ^{a.} b. ^cMittelstadt S, Kelemen O, Admard J, Gschwind A, Koch A, Wörz S, et al. (2023). "Det ection of circulating cell-free HPV DNA of 13 HPV types for patients with cervical cancer as potential biomarker to monitor therapy response and to detect relapse." Br J Cancer. 128(11):2097–103. doi: 10.1038/s41416-023-02233-x.
- ^{a.} ^bThangarajah F, Busshoff J, Salamon J, Pruss MS, Lenz C, Morgenstern B, et al. (2023). "Digital droplet PCR-based quantification of ccfHPV-DNA as liquid biopsy in HPV-drive n cervical and vulvar cancer." J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 149(14):12597–604. doi: 10.1007/s 00432-023-05077-3.
- 26. [△]Hanna GJ, Supplee JG, Kuang Y, Mahmood U, Lau CJ, Haddad RI, et al. (2018). "Plasma HPV cell-free DNA monitoring in advanced HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancer." An n Oncol. 29(9):1980–6. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy251.
- △Chen W, Xiu S, Xie X, Guo H, Xu Y, Bai P, et al. (2022). "Prognostic value of tumor meas urement parameters and SCC-Ag changes in patients with locally-advanced cervical ca ncer." Radiat Oncol. 17(1):6. doi: 10.1186/s13014-021-01978-0.
- 28. ^ASalvatici M, Achilarre MT, Sandri MT, Boveri S, Vanna Z, Landoni F (2016). "Squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC-Ag) during follow-up of cervical cancer patients: Role in t he early diagnosis of recurrence." Gynecol Oncol. 142(1):115-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2016. 04.029.
- 29. [△]Shi L, Liu Y, Li J, Kou J, Ouyang Y, Chen F, et al. (2023). "Establishment of a risk stratific ation model based on the combination of post-treatment serum squamous cell carcino ma antigen levels and FIGO stage of cervical cancer for treatment and surveillance dec ision-making." J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 149(9):5999–6007. doi: 10.1007/s00432-022-04 558-1.
- 30. [△]Kawaguchi R, Furukawa N, Kobayashi H, Asakawa I (2013). "Posttreatment cut-off lev els of squamous cell carcinoma antigen as a prognostic factor in patients with locally a dvanced cervical cancer treated with radiotherapy." J Gynecol Oncol. 24(4):313–20. doi: 10.3802/jgo.2013.24.4.313.
- 31. △Fu J, Wang W, Wang Y, Liu C, Wang P (2019). "The role of squamous cell carcinoma ant igen (SCC Ag) in outcome prediction after concurrent chemoradiotherapy and treatme nt decisions for patients with cervical cancer." Radiat Oncol. 14(1):146. doi: 10.1186/s1301 4-019-1355-4.
- 32. △Adrian G, Forslund O, Pedersen L, Sjövall J, Gebre-Medhin M (2023). "Circulating tumo ur HPV16 DNA quantification – A prognostic tool for progression-free survival in patien ts with HPV-related oropharyngeal carcinoma receiving curative chemoradiotherapy." Radiother Oncol. 186:109773. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2023.109773.
- 33. ^ΔHanna GJ, Lau CJ, Mahmood U, Supplee JG, Mogili AR, Haddad RI, et al. (2019). "Saliva ry HPV DNA informs locoregional disease status in advanced HPV-associated orophary ngeal cancer." Oral Oncol. 95:120–6. doi: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2019.06.019.
- 34. △Cao Y, Haring CT, Brummel C, Bhambhani C, Aryal M, Lee C, et al. (2022). "Early HPV ctDNA Kinetics and Imaging Biomarkers Predict Therapeutic Response in p16+ Oropha ryngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma." Clin Cancer Res. 28(2):350–9. doi: 10.1158/1078-04 32.Ccr-21-2338.
- 35. [△]Tewari KS, Sill MW, Monk BJ, Penson RT, Long HJ, 3rd, Poveda A, et al. (2015). "Prospec tive Validation of Pooled Prognostic Factors in Women with Advanced Cervical Cancer Treated with Chemotherapy with/without Bevacizumab: NRG Oncology/GOG Study." C lin Cancer Res. 21(24):5480–7. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-15-1346.
- 36. [△]Marabelle A, Le DT, Ascierto PA, Di Giacomo AM, De Jesus-Acosta A, Delord JP, et al. (2 020). "Efficacy of Pembrolizumab in Patients With Noncolorectal High Microsatellite In stability/Mismatch Repair-Deficient Cancer: Results From the Phase II KEYNOTE-158 S tudy." J Clin Oncol. 38(1):1–10. doi: 10.1200/jco.19.02105.
- 37. △Colombo N, Dubot C, Lorusso D, Caceres MV, Hasegawa K, Shapira-Frommer R, et al. (2021). "Pembrolizumab for Persistent, Recurrent, or Metastatic Cervical Cancer." N En gl J Med. 385(20):1856–67. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2112435.

Declarations

Funding: This work was supported by the Key R&D Program of Zhejiang (2022C04001), the Zhejiang Province Medicine and Health Science and Technology Program (2020KY454), the Zhejiang Science and Technology Department Public Welfare Project (LGF22H160075). Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.