

Review of: "Bisphosphonate-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaws Treated with Platelet-Rich Plasma: Preliminary Results from a Case Series"

Samantha Pozzi¹

¹ Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editors,

I read the manuscript, and all the comments with interest.

This is an important medical topic that we learnt to handle better over the years, even if several patients are still in need of an appropriate treatment.

Studies like the one reported here are an important attempt to find new therapeutic strategies.

I agree with many of the comments, and I highly recommend the Author to address the suggestions in order to improve the quality of the manuscript.

- Please exclude commercial names, when possible, and add full conflict of interests and disclosure;

Protocol:

- a control group would have been optimal, however since it is not available, please report the rate of response with other techniques based on the literature, as an historic control;

- please specify the inclusion criteria, the stage of the ONJ, the type of BP and the medical condition that required the treatment (osteometabolic or cancer).

Materials and Methods:

- please detail the protocol for the preparation of PRP and the surgical techniques as recommended by several reviewers.

Results:

- it is a very short description;

- please clarify "all 75 BRONJ patients were treated successfully": how many patients have been treated?

- since the follow-up has a very defined timing, results would definitely benefit from a step-by-step description of the lesions: when was the healing observed in the patients? At day 7, 14, after 1 month...? – please report the median time of

healing;

- A representative series of photos of at least one patient, at different time points, would improve the “Results” section.

Figures:

- Where are figures 1-4?

- Delete figures 5-6-7-8-9-10-12-13 (unnecessary)

- add serial photos of the lesions at different time of follow-up (at least for one patient)

In conclusion: the manuscript should be considered for publication, after adequate major revisions.