

Review of: "A Policy Dialogue for Nutrition of Women and Adolescent Girls: Sustainable Development Goals Matters Arising"

Beatrice Madeghe

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review

The author is commended for addressing a very crucial and neglected topic about nutrition among women and adolescent girls. Providing policy recommendations for addressing these problems. The dialog is interesting and presents a gap in research that needs to be addressed.

Here are a few observations that can reviewed:

1. The structure of the paper/ dialog needs to be observed, as per the instructions for authors given. In this dialog, the abstract contains the institution name, the author's name, the email address between the text and the keywords. Are these parts of the abstract?
2. The Phrase "wicked problems" is not appropriate for use here; it may distract the reader/, meaning there is no way to test the solution for the wicked problem.
3. In the introduction, the author can explain more about the forms of undernutrition, the specific nutritional problems, and how they are associated with ill health.
4. In Paragraph two, please expound more on how malnutrition undermines women's productivity.
5. In paragraph three, the sentence "Nutrition can drive transformational change and help end poverty, "What comes first? Can nutrition end poverty? or ending poverty can improve nutrition?"
6. In paragraph five, this sentence, "About 13 percent of women aged 15-49 have some degree of anemia," Consider using another word instead of using degree of anemia. Also, consider spacing between words in the following sentence e.g. 6percent.
7. The author could explore and compare the situation of adolescents'/women's nutrition in other regions. E.g., Global, Africa, and East Africa. Is the problem only in Rwanda?
8. This policy dialog needs to be supported by facts; citing relevant statistics and references from national surveys and the report in the text would be important.
9. Lastly, the conclusion states that this was a study. Was this a study or a policy dialog? I hope these few observations can help you improve the dialog.

