

Review of: "On Probabilities in Quantum Mechanics"

Larry Zamick¹

¹ Rutgers University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is my second review in response to the authors' comments. She says this paper is quickly written. I say that is the problem. For example, the Born formula is mentioned but there is no reference to Born's work. Or in fact, what the Born formula is.

She says she has it elsewhere--good. All the more easy to copy it over to this work.

I will limit my revision to this plus a more concentrated statement of what the author

believes to be correct about probability.

Is it too much to expect that a paper be comprehensible?