

Review of: "Biological Parenthood and Reproductive Technologies"

Susanna Pozzolo¹

¹ University of Brescia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The essay solicits an important reflection. The emphasis on biology reinforces patriarchy. Personally, I agree.

Nevertheless, I think the work should be better structured and argued. Too many points are taken for granted, considering that this is a scientific article and not for a newspaper or popular journal.

That the spread of reproductive technologies is “simply” a matter of justice indeed opens up many problems and ethical and political issues: is there a right to parenthood? I have many doubts.

The considerations of 'justice' (Rawls) in modern time (I am oversimplifying, I beg your pardon) refer to something that can be collected and distributed by the public body, the community, the state, on this issue, if we refer to care for the individual person we remain in this area of discourse (justice), but if we already reflect on *gestation for others* I would say we are off topic. As for adoptions, I would be for open of course, I see no reason to prevent same-sex couples from adopting, of course. But the article's argumentation it seems to go in another direction, so we have to consider that today (not in the past) adoption it is conceived as a “good or right” of the adoptees and not of the adopters, or so it seems to me: that is care is for those who are without parents, it is not a care of the intentional parents.

On the basic thesis regarding patriarchy, I couldn't agree more: the emphasis on biology and familism instead of liberating again harnesses people inside gender or cultural cages, which are patriarchal.

In this respect I think the argument is not sufficiently developed, I realize that we are addressing those who roughly agree, but if we are all already of the same opinion, why the article? It seems to me that explaining RRE better would help a lot, the work, and the debate.