

Peer Review

Review of: "Risks for Academic Research and Possible Responses"

Parisa Omidmand¹

1. Department of Economics, Texas Tech University, United States

The paper addresses a practical gap in the literature and presents a finding that challenges common assumptions about risk in academic research.

One area to consider is that the practical recommendations remain fairly broad. The proposed risk responses (build relationships with funders, document knowledge, offer flexible work environments) are sensible but not particularly novel. The observation that many risks require system-level responses is important, but the paper stops short of exploring what those responses might actually look like. A bit more depth here would strengthen the contribution.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.