

# Review of: "Integration and Implementation of Multiple Soil Sensors for Automated and Regulated Irrigation"

Jephter Ondieki1

1 Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

#### **Abstract**

**Strengths**: The abstract effectively highlights the relevance of the study and the system's overall benefits. It clearly defines the research's objective, i.e., the development and evaluation of a cost-effective soil monitoring and irrigation control system.

#### Suggestions for Improvement:

The abstract could benefit from a brief mention of the specific methods used to test and validate the system. For example, adding a sentence about how the system's performance was evaluated in the field would give a better sense of how the research was conducted.

#### Introduction

**Strengths**: The introduction provides a thorough background, addressing the need for improved water management in agriculture and mentioning the challenges like climate change and water scarcity.

#### Suggestions for Improvement:

The transition from existing methods to the proposed solution could be more explicit. While the introduction briefly mentions IoT and sensor networks, a more direct connection between current limitations and the novel aspects of the proposed system would make the problem statement clearer.

Consider including more recent references to emphasize the cutting-edge nature of this research.

# Methodology

## **System Architecture**

**Strengths:** The section provides a comprehensive explanation of the system's components and its architecture, including a well-detailed block diagram.

#### Suggestions for Improvement:

The description of the system's block diagram could be accompanied by more insight into how each component interacts



with the rest of the system in real-time. For instance, the role of the radio communication network in enabling the system's efficiency could be explained more clearly.

Some technical terms like "threshold value" could be better clarified for non-technical readers.

# **Hardware Development and Integration**

Strengths: Good technical detail is provided about the sensors and hardware used.

# **Suggestions for Improvement:**

The hardware component explanations (like the description of the rotary switch encoder) are sometimes overly technical. It might help to simplify the language slightly or provide a brief explanation of the terms before diving into the technical specifics.

It would be useful to discuss the rationale behind selecting specific components. For example, why the DS18B20 temperature sensor or the 7-in-1 soil sensor was chosen over alternatives.

#### **Software Component**

**Strengths**: Clear structure and flow.

#### **Suggestions for Improvement:**

Information on data handling, processing, and any security measures for wireless communication could add depth.

# **Data Acquisition and Processing**

Strengths: The methodology for data acquisition is well-structured, with adequate technical depth.

# **Suggestions for Improvement:**

This section could benefit from a brief mention of the sampling rate or data resolution. How often are measurements taken and transmitted? Are there any data filtering or noise reduction techniques used in processing?

## Results

Strengths: The results are well presented, especially the use of error metrics (R2, RMSE) to evaluate the system's accuracy.

## **Suggestions for Improvement:**

More emphasis could be placed on the practical significance of the R<sup>2</sup> and RMSE values. For example, explaining how the observed error margins translate into real-world irrigation decisions would provide more context.

Tables and figures should have more descriptive captions, and it would help to reference them more consistently



throughout the results section.

#### **Discussion**

Strengths: The discussion effectively compares the results with other studies, demonstrating the reliability of the system.

# **Suggestions for Improvement:**

The discussion could more directly address potential limitations or challenges of the developed system. For example, are there any concerns about sensor calibration in different soil types or environmental conditions?

Consider expanding the discussion on the system's scalability for larger farms or its applicability in different climates.

# Conclusion

Strengths: The conclusion succinctly summarizes the benefits of the developed system and its potential applications.

# Suggestions for Improvement:

The conclusion could be enhanced by offering a few specific recommendations for future work or potential improvements to the system. For example, addressing the possible integration of additional sensors for other soil parameters or improving the system's energy efficiency.

# **Suggestions for Improvement:**

More recent studies could be cited to emphasize the current relevance of the research. The latest advancements in IoT for agriculture or precision irrigation methods from 2023–2024 could be particularly useful.

Overall, the paper is well-written and provides a detailed overview of the system. The main areas for improvement involve providing more clarity in explanations, emphasizing the novelty of the system, and elaborating on the practical applications of the results.

Qeios ID: FMHNP4 · https://doi.org/10.32388/FMHNP4