

Review of: "[Commentary] The Polyfollicular Anovulatory Androgenic Syndrome: A New Label for an Old Syndrome"

Presiyana Nyagolova

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The title is appropriate for the content of the article. The abstract is concise and accurately summarizes the essential information of the paper. The subject of this article is to comment on the need for changing the name of a well-known and very common syndrome. By changing it the author attempts to develop a better understanding and lack of misperception of the syndrome. I agree that the name PCOS misleadingly focuses on ovarian cysts and the name of a condition should be accurate and should reflect the main pathological changes. Given these consideration, a revision of the name "Polycystic ovary syndrome" is needed. Here, we can speculate on the necessity of naming the separate phenotypes. In my opinion, despite its heterogeneity, it is not essential, since we, as physicians, can recognise the syndrome, understand it and treat it properly.

The article is well written and accurately reasoned, the author is analytical in examining previous writings on the topic.