

Review of: "Chronic disease treatment default prediction, potential benefits with applied random sampling optimization techniques"

K.V V

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In this study, the author randomly sample data from a real-world healthcare dataset consisting of patients with hypertension and comorbidities to evaluate the predictive efficacy of five classification-based algorithms. There are a number of problems that prevent this from being publishable. The following are some remarks:

- 1.The work's originality is low, and the approach proposed is unclear.
2. After setting the literature, authors should briefly outline the research gaps and recommended approach.
3. The paper's sections should also be decided upon by them. Brief summaries of supplementary works need to be provided.
- 4.The third section, "Results and Discussions," is where authors should present their findings. More context around the figures is needed in the paper.
- 5.Dataset volume is low