

Review of: "NP on Logarithmic Space"

Andrew Powell¹

¹ Imperial College London

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article is slowly getting clearer, but its logical structure is still very unclear. In particular Hypothesis 1 is used in the proof of (now) $P=NP$, but I cannot follow the argument which appears in Theorem 7 that Hypothesis 1 is true. The paper needs a much clearer structure and the steps in the proofs should be clearly explained. There is a claim in Theorem 2 that if Hypothesis 1 then $NL=NP$, Theorem 7 claims that Hypothesis 1 is true, and hence since NL subset or equal to P , then $P=NP$. The issue is providing the arguments to justify these claims.