Review of: "e-Health and knowledge assessment of students and academic staff recommendations for updating nursing curricula"

Abdul Sattar Khan[1]

1 King Faisal University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The title is pertinent to the research question and objective. The abstract is written very precisely and depicts all aspects of the article in an orderly fashion. However, the methodology is clearly described in the abstract. The introduction is very well written and covers all aspects of the subject. It also highlighted key points from the literature and stated the problem statement clearly. The study design has been described in the Method section, and the data collection method has been clearly defined. Statistical tests and ethical considerations were also thoroughly explained. However, the sample size calculation and sampling technique were not clearly stated. It was only mentioned that data collection would take place for one month in 2022. The results include some tables and numbers with p-values. I'm curious why table 2 has a p-value and what it represents in the analysis. Table 3 raises similar concerns for me. Cross-tabulation was mentioned in the analysis, but no cross-tabulation was seen in the result section, despite the fact that it was mentioned in the description. It's a little perplexing. The discussion demonstrates a consistent interpretation and discusses the study's key points. However, comparisons of similar studies are largely lacking. References are written in a variety of styles, such as Vancouver style, APA style, and Harvard style. Website references are incorrectly written. Overall, I appreciate the time and effort put into writing this article.