

# Review of: "Assessment of Children's Toys Suitability Index Instrument (Toy Index)"

Sian Jones<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Queen Margaret University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This paper is a welcome addition to the field and would benefit from the following:

## **Abstract**

Include information on the scope of the research and on the research problem.

## **Introduction**

Include more background information - more reference to academic work.

Include a justification for focusing on toys for 3-5 year olds.

Why is important to look at this in Malaysia specifically?

What are the strengths of the methods that have been chosen?

## **Methods**

Tell us more about the panel of experts (e.g., a table of demographics).

\*Give citations to the methods that have been chosen (e.g., AHP)

## **Results and Discussion**

How is it determined whether a toy is suitable for a specific group of children (e.g., disabled children). This doesn't seem to have been considered at all.

What are the drawbacks of the method chosen?

What are the implications for future research?

How will the index be validated?

**Also:**

A thorough proof-read for grammatical use of written English is needed.