

Review of: "Sting Pathway Activation by Orally Administered Attenuated dsRNA Vaccine Virus for Therapy of Viral Diseases"

Marianna E. Weener¹

¹ Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This topic of research is actual and interesting, however the narrative of the paper reminds more of storytelling rather than scientific paper structure. The text includes a literature review, and all the figures are borrowed from other authors and previously published papers. Commercial disclosures are provided in the paper; with that being said, one should consider this a commercial leaflet, not a scientific paper.

Major concerns:

Conclusions completely do not match the course of the paper, contain citations and a list of references, and can't be considered appropriate for a scientific paper. Conclusions focus mainly on reducing "spending many US\$ trillions on the next pandemic," which is not the scope of scientific research but a commercial matter.

Figure 4: Did the patient try conventional therapy for Herpes Zoster? Only Acyclovir for 7 days? Deontology and ethics concerns arise upon autobiographical medical sketches without proper professional medical care and self-cure. No professional clinical picture assessment and management is provided, which unfortunately can't be considered as proof of treatment results.

Minor comments:

1. Specify keywords; in their present form, they are excessive (version 2, even comments were available for version 1 as well).
2. Autophagosomal intake needs to be specified, or ambiguous scenarios should be depicted in figure 1.

I would recommend a major revision of the manuscript before acceptance for publication.

Marianna Weener, MD, PhD

Mass Eye and Ear Infirmary