

Review of: "Longevity of Electric Vehicle Operations"

Mayuresh Keskar¹

1 State University of New York at Buffalo

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- The article is well-structured, with distinct sections discussing various aspects of electric vehicles (EVs), including battery technology, charging infrastructure, policy support, environmental impact, and sustainable transportation. However, it could benefit from clearer section headers to make it easier for readers to navigate and locate specific information.
- 2. While the article provides comprehensive coverage of EV-related topics, some sections could be more concise. For instance, the section on battery technology delves into technical details and history that may be more information than a general audience needs. Streamlining the content to focus on key advancements and challenges would make it easier to focus the reader on.
- 3. Incorporating visual aids such as graphs, charts, or images could enhance the article's engagement and clarity, particularly in sections discussing technical concepts like battery technology and charging infrastructure. Visuals can help illustrate key points effectively.
- 4. Article lacks specific data or quantitative information to support the claims made about the environmental benefits of EVs, making it less convincing from a technical standpoint.
- 5. The conclusion could be more succinct and should reiterate the main takeaways from the article. It should also encourage readers to consider the interconnectedness of the discussed factors in achieving a sustainable EV future and perhaps provide a call to action or reflection on the importance of electric mobility in the broader context of environmental conservation.

Qeios ID: FYYP72 · https://doi.org/10.32388/FYYP72