

Review of: "Supply Chain and Digital Transformation of the Tire Manufacturing Company during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Case Study of PT. X"

Muhammad Mazhar¹

¹ University of Okara

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I would like to thank you for giving me an opportunity to review the Qeios titled "Supply Chain and Digital Transformation of the Tire Manufacturing Company during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Case Study of PT. X." After reading your manuscript in detail, I am concluding to provide a reject decision. The manuscript requires in-depth revisions in terms of gap formulation, theoretical foundations that would back up your framework and theoretical contributions. Therefore, I am afraid at present the manuscript does not justify revisions for Qeios.

Please see my detailed comments might help.

The introduction part is very weak. I suggest authors provide the study's background and state the problem clearly.

As a layman without a research gap, it is difficult for me to understand the research problem.

The significance of the study is also not provided in the introduction section.

In the whole section, no previous study reference was used.

The introduction section is more like a research proposal write-up rather than a journal article.

Too many sentences required references for example; In the tire manufacturing industry sector, the chain reaction is affecting all related companies, starting from the car's original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) to their supporting companies for parts, such as tires, and so on. **(Reference required)**

Following these challenges, PT.X need to act in order to avoid further decline and keep their sustainable business (what is PT.X?)

This research seems to be conducted in the COVID context but now COVID is controlled, and researchers conducted Post-COVID studies. So authors should also include Post-COVID scenarios for better understanding.

To find uncertainties authors conducted interviews only with PT.X employees. To generalize these uncertainties might be questionable. Furthermore, these uncertainties were not supported by the literature.

What are OEMs?

Authors should use full forms of abbreviations.

No facts and figures were provided regarding an increase in cost and a reduction in revenue.

The explanation for Table 2 was not provided.

The methodology section is completely missing in this article.

How did the authors analyse the interviews?

How does this article contribute theoretically and practically?

Findings were not discussed based on previous studies.

There are many grammatical mistakes therefore, proofreading is required.

Overall, the idea is good, but the execution was weak. Literature regarding factors was also not provided. Much improvement is required on this article.

Wish you best of luck.