

Peer Review

Review of: "Semantic Data Augmentation for Long-tailed Facial Expression Recognition"

Bangjun Lei¹

1. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), Piscataway, United States

The overall quality of the paper is too rough to be published. Specifically:

1. The figures are of low quality and need to be redrawn.
2. The equations have no indices, and some mistakes were also made.
3. Some abbreviations were used without introduction (e.g., EAC).
4. The description in the "Introduction" section is not very clear.
5. For the newly proposed method, if the class has very low population, then the covariance would not be representative. What will happen then?
6. In the experimental part, comparisons were only made with the baselines. How about with other data augmentation methods?

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.