

Review of: "Agritourism: a source for socio-economic transformation in developing economies"

Enioluwa Jonathan Ijatuyi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- (1) The aim/objective was properly highlighted at the end of the introduction.
- (2) There are so many statements of facts that require them to be referenced appropriately. "Section 3.1 Africa".
- (3) The review was a vast approach, hence justying the "expansive" literature review search method. However, there was no indication of the total number of articles reviewed and how the total number of articles used for the review was selected in the methodology.
- (4) Did the authors employ abstract, full-text screening of the articles to justying there selection for the review?

GENERAL COMMENTS

- (1) There was a significant link in the benefits of agritourism in the transformation of developing countries with the examples of developing countries reviewed.
- (2) An important point mentioned was that agritourism gives local employment opportunities, as well as promoting community participation. (How did you come to this conclusion?)
- (3) The overall contribution of new knowledge scientifically maybe improved as the review appears to be generally based on existing literature, hence no new scientific view in terms of its contribution to the world of science.
- (4) The authors did not compare Zimbabwe as a nation with other developing nations which would have provided a more empirical result of the analysis of the nations. The authors should look into introducing a comparism with other developing economies and nations in Africa.
- (5) I say thank you to the authors for an interesting review that they wrote.

Qeios ID: G47GL4 · https://doi.org/10.32388/G47GL4