

Review of: "Study of environment-behavior in three types of the urban context of Tehran — Comparative analysis of the Chizar, Narmak, and Khazane neighborhoods' context using survey and space syntax methods"

Ronak Ghanbari¹

¹ University of Iowa

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Following is the review of the article Study of environment-behavior in three types of the urban context of Tehran — Comparative analysis of the Chizar, Narmak, and Khazane neighborhoods' context using survey and space syntax methods:

1. The authors should bring out the novelty of the study. The method has earlier been applied to numerous studies. So, the authors should be clearer about the uniqueness of the study.
2. Add the flowchart of methodology.
- 3- No results are given in the abstract; what are the findings of this article? What problem does this article solve? How much has it improved over other studies? Mention these points in the abstract.
- 4- The introduction is very short. It is suggested to merge the literature review section with the introduction section.
- 5- Tables 6 and 7 are very long and have many values, which can be boring for readers. It is suggested that the authors shorten these tables or show some table values schematically.
- 6- Figure 4 should be given on one page, not incompletely on 2 pages.
7. The authors should explain the significance of the study in the conclusion section with numerical discussions.
8. The quality of English (grammar, syntax of sentences) remains poor. The quality of English has to be improved.