

# Review of: "No difference in mid-term outcome after superior vs. anteroinferior plate position for displaced midshaft clavicle fractures"

Luigi Meccariello

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

Dear Editor,

I am very happy to have reviewed this scientific paper.

I will accept this paper after a minimal revision, as I just suggested on.

My review on some structural paper is:

1. Is the question posed by authors new and well defined? **YES**
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described, and are sufficient details provided to replicate the work? **YES**
3. Are the data sound and well controlled? **YES**
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? **YES**
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? **YES**
6. Do the title and abstract convey what has been found? **YES**
7. Is the writing acceptable? **YES**

Dear Authors

I have reviewed your paper with great interest.

I will accept your paper after a minimal revision.

My revision is:

Title: Very Good

Abstract: Very Good

Introduction and AIM: The problem and the aim are well describing.

Materials, Patients and methods and statistics: All good.

Results: Focus on and well described.

Discussion and Thread: effectiveness Focus ON.

plate and bone configuration is not a risk to non-union, evaluate and discuss and cite this paper:

Rollo G, Pichierri P, Marsilio A, Filipponi M, Bisaccia M, Meccariello L. The challenge of nonunion after osteosynthesis of the clavicle: is it a biomechanical or infection problem? Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab. 2017 Sep-Dec;14(3):372-378. doi: 10.11138/ccmbm/2017.14.3.372. Epub 2017 Dec 27. PMID: 29354171; PMCID: PMC5762233.

References: Well chosen but to improve

Figures and Table: Very Good.