Review of: "Exploring the Experiences of Physical Therapists on Assessment and Management of Pelvic Floor Disorders Among Women in Rehabilitation Centers in Metro Manila: A Qualitative Descriptive Study"

Hadis Ashrafizadeh[1]

1 Dezful University of Medical Sciences

Hello dear editor,

I am very happy to have the opportunity to review this article. This study has been conducted with the aim of explaining the experiences of physiotherapists with women's pelvic floor disorders. I liked the title of the study and consider it acceptable with general corrections. Therefore, the following recommendations are provided to improve the article.

1- Is the current study a pilot? If yes, it should be stated in the title. If not, then where are the findings, discussion, and conclusion of the study?

2- Present the abstract in a structured way (background and aims, methods, results, conclusion, keywords).

3- The reason for the importance of explaining the experiences of physiotherapists in this study should be presented more clearly.

4- The researcher should explain why he uses a qualitative study in this instance when the answer to the question cannot be found in a quantitative study. (Why use a qualitative study? What answers does a qualitative study give that a quantitative study does not?)

5- The end of the introduction should state the reason for conducting this research in the Philippines.

6- It is better to mention the results of several studies in this field in the introduction and explain what the obstacles to doing physiotherapy in women with problems are. Mention some of the obstacles. List the major obstacles in the Philippines. This has been mentioned to some extent in the knowledge gap; it can be reported more coherently.

7- What kind of qualitative study was used? (Content analysis? Conventional? Directed? And....)

8- Provide a table of how to code the data.

9- Present the data coding steps in a diagram.

Best regards,