

Review of: "Adoption of Technology Acceptance and Interfaces for Academic Information System Applications"

Godwin Banafo Akrong

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstracts

1. The author should please redraft the abstract and clearly indicate what the study is trying to better understand or what problem it is trying to solve.
2. The objective should be revised. It is confusing as it stands.

The Art of Research

1. The title of this section should be changed to "Literature and Hypotheses."

Method

1. The title of this section should be changed to "methods."
2. Can the authors clarify their rationale for selecting the Health Polytechnic of Malang City?
3. What was the total number of questionnaires sent to the participants (by online and offline methods)?
4. What was the technique employed for respondent selection?
5. What was the length of time it took to collect the questionnaires?
6. Can the authors include the VIF (Variance Inflation Factor) of each item utilized in the study?
7. The authors should refrain from using first-person pronouns such as "I" and "me," but can put the sentence as, "It took 10 minutes for respondents to complete the questionnaire."
8. The duration of both online and offline questionnaire completion should be specified.
9. By providing readers with the following details, the authors can enhance the research method:
 - How many questionnaires were distributed?
 - How many were accepted, and how many were rejected?
 - How was the questionnaire validated?
 - How were the respondents selected?
 - Why did the study use the selected respondents?
 - Talk about the sampling procedure.
 - How long did the questionnaire distribution take?
 - How were the items on the questionnaire measured?

10. This section should be divided into the following:

- Study location
- Research design/approach
- Sampling and procedure
- Data analysis

Discussion

The discussion section should be revised to provide a comprehensive explanation of the results arising from the study, particularly in comparison to previous studies.

Conclusion

This section should include: (i) a summary of research and findings; (ii) a theoretical contribution; (iii) a practical contribution; (iv) limitations; and (v) future research. The authors have most of these elements in the manuscript, but they are scattered and not included in the conclusion section.