

Review of: "Maintaining cyberhygiene in the Internet of Things (IoT): An expert consensus study of requisite user behaviours"

Karin Ahlin¹

1 Karlstad University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is well presented, with a few areas to revise:

- 1. The abstract includes too much about the research design and too little about the findings and even future work
- 2. More updated references (perhaps indicating when the original article/study was written/conducted).
- 3. Declare when the study was conducted.
- 4. Visualise the results from the quantitative parts of the study.
- 5. The novelty of the findings is low, which could be part of the discussion. The findings also seem general, indicating an overall analysis or discussion during the study.

Qeios ID: GDUJFU · https://doi.org/10.32388/GDUJFU