

Review of: "Forming The Rapid Survey Interdisciplinary Team with Multiscalar Tradecraft: a Plea in the Backdrop of the Anthropocene"

Minh Hoang Nguyen¹

¹ Phenikaa University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The idea to form Rapid Survey Global Interdisciplinary Squad to research and tackle problems in the Anthropocene. However, there are two main problems that will hinder such idea to be implemented if they remain unresolved.

1. Interdisciplinary practices are costly, even with the support of developed countries. They require collaborators from various backgrounds to work together, investing substantial time in establishing collaborative relationships, developing a shared language and sharpening a common view from distinct perspectives are inevitable. Such costs are induced by the friction of interactions among multiple viewpoints. Given that there is a trade-off between quantity and quality, collaboration cannot be used as a 'silver bullet' strategy for biodiversity loss prevention. For more details, please see the following study: Evaluation of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets: The international collaboration trilemma in interdisciplinary research (<https://www.publish.csiro.au/PC/PC21026>)
2. Currently, most biodiversity problems are occurring in developing countries which have limited resources for investment into research.

Based on these two points, I recommend the authors incorporate a discussion of how the cost should be dealt with if the idea of Rapid Survey Global Interdisciplinary Squad is implemented.