

Review of: "Modern Monads: Leibniz, Continuity, and the Stream of Consciousness"

Bingzhuan Peng¹

¹ Harbin University of Science and Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The research gives us a more thorough understanding of the Leibniz's conscious perceptions and the author did a good job, I think, but there are still some issues to be solved.

I recommend the Abstract should include research background/research aim, research method and content, research findings and research significance. In the Abstract, I cannot find the research method and content, and the research significance.

Some citations need to be added if the statements are not the author's, for example, "Russell" and "Leibniz's ideas" in the Abstract, "As he deduced, fundamental dynamic units are units of constant 'drive' reflecting stable local asymmetries" etc.

The research gap should be clearly pointed out in the Introduction

In Discussion, I suggest the author state how the research agrees or disagrees with the previous research.

The Conclusion section I think should be added in the paper.

It would be better to add more recent works in the References.