

Review of: "Risk of death derived from Hazard Ratio should not be communicated as Relative Risk reductions for death in cancer clinical trials. Intentional or inadvertent?"

Marija Zivkovic Radojevic¹

¹ University of Kragujevac

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In this referred manuscript titled "Hazard Risk Reductions Should Not Be Communicated as Relative Risk Reductions for Death in Cancer Clinical Trials: Intentional or Inadvertent?" the extraordinary effort of the author to shed light on statistical pitfalls in interpreting the results of clinical studies is evident. This is especially important because incorrectly interpreted results enter standard national and institutional oncology protocols for treatment, which can mislead experts in the field of oncology. Overall, the article is well written and organized. This is an interesting paper containing useful results. However, to strengthen the quality of current manuscript, there are several points need to be further addressed and the quality need improvement as described below before considering accepting this manuscript for publication.

My suggestions for the author are as follows:

- 1) Each cited study must be followed by an appropriate reference.
- 2) It is necessary to expand the number of references that would support the interpretation of statistical data in the mentioned studies.
- 3) Give suggestions for overcoming shortcomings in understanding the results in clinical studies.
- 4) Correct spelling and grammar mistakes. Correct references uniformly in accordance with the magazine's propositions.