

Review of: "Could governmental interventions improve subjective well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic? Findings from 750 street vendors in Cali, Colombia"

Rocio González Suárez¹

¹ Camilo José Cela University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Authors,

The manuscript explores a subject that is both engaging and extremely pertinent to today's academic and social environment. In the following, I will outline some recommendations for improvement.

First, on the theoretical framework:

- I consider that the theoretical framework is high quality. It fits the topic and relevant sources are reviewed taking into account multiple related variables.
- I believe it is necessary to go a little deeper into the justification of the relevance of the study. Reinforce the idea of how the results would impact the population/students.

Second, on the materials and methods:

- In the text, the measures used for the different socio-demographic factors and well-being are very well explained, but I miss a couple of lines at the beginning of that section where the method used is described, I assume a quantitative study of descriptive-correctional type but at no point is it mentioned.

In third place, in relation to results:

- Along the same lines, the tables presented in the article are quite chaotic. It would be advisable to try to offer this information in a more convenient and aesthetic way. Would it be possible to highlight in bold or in color the relevant results within such large tables?
- If we mention the mean age it is necessary to include also the standard deviation of the mean age.
- Considering that this type of analysis is quite complex, I recommend revising the expression to make the descriptive part of the procedure a little more didactic for the reader.
- I understand the density of information, but would it be possible to present them in a different way? Too many tables and figures in a row without an explanation. For example, try to explain some of the different figures that you include (not discuss, only explain).

Fourth, regarding the discussion and conclusions

- I strongly recommend reinforcing the limitations of the study. They are rather superficial.
- I recommend revising the conclusions, it would be positive to include more integrated information and not so enumerated.

I hope you will be able to attend to these considerations, which are always aimed at improvement.

With my best wishes.

Dra. Rocio González Suárez