

# Review of: "Quantum Evolution and Genetic Mutations"

George Mikhailovsky

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

It is unclear why this article was written. The Conclusion section states that the author is virtually certain of nothing and concludes that more research is needed. This is quite fair, but such a conclusion could have been reached without this review. Oh, and starting an article on quantum biology with the Charaka Samhita, Hippocrates, and Aristotle is rather odd, not to mention meaningless.

In addition, the article contains several unfortunate assertions. For example, Hossien Hossieni writes about "the Lamarckian approach to evolution, according to which mutation occurs as a consequence of environmental challenge." But Lamarck had no concept of mutation, which entered the scientific language about a century later thanks to de Vries. He goes on to say that "*the theory of natural selection was discarded then, but it regains its importance nowadays*" This may be true in a sense, but it would be interesting to know what Hossien Hossieni exactly means and when and who discarded and then recovered the theory.