Qeios

Peer Review

Review of: "Latin Partnered Social Dance and Well-Being in the Qualitative Literature: A Framework-Based Scoping Review"

Parijat Lanke[1]

1. Indian Institute of Management, Tiruchirappalli, India

1. The topic chosen is interesting, and at the outset, the manuscript's readability can improve with a further grammar and language check.

2. While the authors present a rationale section, they fail to provide a clear reason/rationale for considering only the qualitative explorations; apart from their personal motivation, a rationale grounded in scholarship is warranted.

3. Even the research question seems to be unnecessarily extended to qualitative explorations while it seems complete in itself without the addition of “corpus of qualitative research.” A response to point 2 will also answer the query in this point.

4. Eleven as the number of papers to review is “scoping gone too far.” I suggest that the authors relax their inclusion criteria to include a substantial number of papers to represent a “literature review” that would be of use to future scholars.

5. While it is okay to showcase the future recommendations of the reviewed studies, it is equally important that the authors design a future research direction section of the self to inform the current state of research and the future.

I think largely the paper is well-written in terms of coverage of different requirements for a literature review. Attending to the observations above might help improve the paper further.

doi.org/10.32388/GJNoA0

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.