

Review of: "How Do Academicians Publish More Research Papers for Their Promotion and Positions? A Scrutiny of CV"

Nabil Semmar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article evokes a needed aspect for deep reform of scientific research filed by underlying the worry of unmerited academic positions due to relational (friendship) ways, lesser effort and people exploitation from many candidates who finish by reaching apparent scientific levels. Such practices are highly harmful for the development and management of scientific and educative fields.

Although the backbone idea deserves encouragement, the article deeply suffers from scientific methodology consisting of a presentation-design that hierarchizes, weights and/or combines well-defined influencing factors (in input) in association with different expected responses or consequent extents (in output). There is no scientific descriptive approach (or vision) based on a design which mentions (lists) variables (factors) with weighted and combined effects.

Also, the author should illustrate the multifactorial aspect of the complex described system by means of appropriate scheme(s).

The author is invited to rewrite the paper by taking care of a scientific descriptive design with clearly defined input factor and output effect via a network of combinatorial aspect. By this way, the paper could acquire a scientific descriptive aspect helping for next projects. By default, the current paper would be just ready to be sent to a daily magazine where it could have a public sensitive effect.