

Review of: "Climate Change Denial Theories, Skeptical Arguments, and the Role of Science Communication"

Nabil Hazzaa Swedan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The submitted paper is a summary of climate-related issues that are already known to the public. It can, however, be useful for those interested in the recent history of the climate controversy.

One thing that the authors have not addressed is the difference between denials of the anthropogenic nature of climate change and denials of the available climate science. They are not the same. Here is the most recent publication on this matter:

Khmelinskii I, Woodcock LV (2024) On earth system dynamics' hypothetical "greenhouse effect". Glob J Ecol 9(1): 042-050. DOI: 10.17352/gje.000095

In short, the publication reveals that the available greenhouse gas theory is questionable and potentially incorrect; there is no greenhouse gas effect. And denying this theory does not necessarily mean denying the anthropogenic nature of climate change.

The authors of the submitted manuscript should revise their manuscript to highlight this major difference in the controversial theme of climate change before publication.