

Review of: "The Residential Property Price Impact of Luas Investments"

Harun Tanrivermis¹

¹ Ankara University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The author interestingly presented the manuscript. There is evidence that the manuscript still has some essential information. However, the manuscript suffers some challenges that require appropriate attention. The presentation of the facts in the manuscript does not duly comply with an academic approach. The manuscript has not been well organised, from manuscript structuring to the citations. There is a series of repetitions, most especially about the methodology of the study, which contribute to the loss of focus in the manuscript subject matter. The author needs to be precise and concise in presenting the manuscript. The use of personal pronouns in the manuscript is inappropriate. The tables and figures are inappropriately placed. Besides that, the author makes each section of the manuscript discussion too long. In addition, there is a need for the author to use more recent articles to convince about the relevance of the topic in the current situation.

List of challenges facing this article –

Repetition of methodology discussion in nearly all sections of the manuscript which is suggested to remove from other sections except methodology.

They are claiming some facts without appropriate justification and citation.

The use of recent citations is necessary to convince the readers that the research topic is more recent and relevant.

There is a need to change the literature approach to be more logically connected with the research topic and precise. The need for the author to draw a research hypothesis from the review is essential and could be value-added to the manuscript as a whole.

The data section is too long; reducing all unrelated discussions and the need to move the descriptive statistics and Figure 2 to the result section or empirical finding is advisable. Unfortunately, the author does not have a separate section for the results, which would have clarified the findings. The result could not be presented as a subsection of empirical methodology. Therefore, the author needs to create a separate section for results.

The references used are too old. Therefore, more relevant and recent references are required.

The author needs to mention the limitations of the present study and the research direction in the future. The article requires total restructuring from the introduction to the conclusion.

