

# Review of: "Austrian Economics Analysis for Failures and Paradoxes in the Digitalization of the Spanish Tourism Industry"

Sabine Panzer-Krause<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universität Hildesheim

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for the paper and the interesting topic. I feel that the paper needs substantial improvement. Here are a few remarks:

1. The rationale of the paper is not clear: Is it a review paper or a case study? The decision would lead to different structures of the paper. Is it about the Spanish tourism industry, as suggested in the title, or not? What is the exact research question? Section 1 should introduce the topic and clearly state the paper's research question. However, you only formulate vaguely that your paper deals with the digital transformation of economies and its implications for labour relations.
2. Section 2 and 3: More clarity is needed. It is hard to follow the authors' argumentation. Moreover, many of the theories mentioned are quite old. Please, discuss more precisely why you think these theories are important to consider. Where is the link to the tourism industry?
3. Is Section 4 a case study? Here, you need empirical data to substantiate your argumentation. If you want to focus on the Spanish tourism industry, you clearly need a more thorough analysis of its tourism sector.
4. Figures: Why do you start numbering with Fig. 0 instead of Fig. 1? In my opinion, Figures 0, 2 and 3 should be deleted. They contain too much text, thus, they do not offer a visual support of your arguments. Hence, the information should be included in the text. What do the black and red arrows in Fig. 1 mean?
5. You need to back up your argumentation with more references.
6. Your abstract does neither clarify your research question, nor does it mention your methodological approach or state your most important results.