

Review of: "Consistent Interpretation of Quantum and Classical Mechanics"

Erik Deumens¹

¹ University of Florida

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I was excited by the title and abstract of this paper, so I read it. However, the paper has many things that need significant improvement. The notion is precision r and Δr are not properly defined. There are many paragraphs explaining and introducing notions, but they are never worked out. As a result, there are numerous inconsistencies as one reads through the paper. The goal of building a consistent interpretation across quantum and classical is very worthy. But this paper does not make a meaningful contribution towards that goal. It needs a lot more work.