

Review of: "Teaching Method Preference by College Teachers in India"

R. Bunga Febriani¹

¹ Universitas Galuh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors of the article do not provide an interesting title for the study.

The introduction does not sufficiently explain the problem statement and background of the study. The authors should present a description of the problem within a theoretical framework.

This study lacks references. The authors did not support their arguments or opinions with others' ideas and views. Many paragraphs did not contain references. Every paragraph must contain references to support the authors' arguments/opinions and to avoid plagiarism. Many of the paragraphs deliver assumptions unless the authors support the arguments/opinions with evidence/sourced articles.

The authors present the RESULTS in the Results section; they did not provide a DISCUSSION of the results of the study. The discussion of the study should contain these aspects:

- a. Your arguments/opinions about the results or the findings of the study.
- b. Supporting opinions/views from other researchers/ from other articles that can strengthen your arguments

The methodology section should be described in the form of narrated paragraphs.

The results of the study should be written in the form of paragraphs. The authors should minimize the use of numbering or bullets.

The Conclusion must answer the problem statement of the study (written before the METHODS section). A conclusion is a relationship of variables that are stated in the problem statement and proven with the Results of the study and elaborated in the Discussion Section.

This study lacks references. It should have a minimum of 30 referenced articles.