

Review of: "Developing Engineering Education Universities in India"

Hairuddin Mohammad¹

1 Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

THE RESULTS OF REVIEW ON "Developing Engineering Education Universities in India"

The paper has been reviewed, and the excerpt of comments is outlined in the following table.

Overall, the intention of the research is no doubt very good. It is expected that if the research is conducted carefully, the outcomes will present an outstanding contribution and add to the body of knowledge for the particular area. The paper is an original work. However, there are some comments to polish the paper's comprehensiveness and clarity. Humbly suggested that the consistency of the write-up from the objectives to the method, to the result, and to the discussion is paramount to ensure the robustness of the entire research endeavour.

No.	Section	Location/point	Comments
1	Overall	Grammar, punctuation, etc.	Please check. May use computer-assisted software or send it for proofreading.
		Plagiarism check (Grammarly.com)	Less than 6% - Ok! (including author's own submission)
2	Abstract	General	In general, the abstract did not clearly state its intention/objective to warrant the case study; thus, perspectives and scopes regarding the case study are not constructively aligned.
3	Introduction	General	In my humble opinion, in the Introduction/ Literature Review section, the author may need to appropriately discuss all the keywords within the manuscript's title – e.g. Engineering Education in General, Comparison of Engineering Education between Developed Countries and Developing Countries, etc. The discussion may surround several important facets of themes, such as the scope of engineering education, programmes offered, related processes, people related to the programmes, etc. The author may easily use a Socratic Inquiry to peel off those discussions (using 5W and 1H). Then, the themes may extend to discussing India's and NITTTR's current perspectives. This way, the manuscript will have a sound and clear direction.
4	Methodology	General	The author needs to understand that although the manuscript is considered a case study/ review paper, there is still a need for sound methodologies for each of the paper's objectives. If there are none, thus the manuscript is considered as a brief report to be presented during any occassional meeting.
5	Results and Discussions	General	Given the direction of the manuscript is not clear, thus these sections lack significant magnitude.

Qeios ID: GQYNSI · https://doi.org/10.32388/GQYNSI