

# Review of: "Challenges in Reducing Maternal Mortality Rate in Pakistan"

# Rabia Wajid<sup>1</sup>

1 King Edward Medical University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Manuscript No: https://doi.org/10/32388/VLt3AP

## **ABSTRACT:**

1. Are the title objectives and conclusion coherent with each other?	Yes
2. Are 5 -7 MeSH / Keywords given?	No

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

1. Is the literature review extensive and relevant and is based on an in-depth search of previous 5 years of published literature?	Yes
2. Are relevant published materials from local (Pakistani) literature included?	Yes
3. Is the rationale for conducting this study given at end of introduction?	Yes
4. Does the study objective give complete aim of what has been studied using appropriate action verb? Example: apply, calculate, determine, evaluate, implement, measure etc.	Yes

#### **METHODS:**

Qeios ID: GSEZ8F · https://doi.org/10.32388/GSEZ8F



Is the correct study design mentioned?	Yes No Not Applicable
2. Is complete information of WHEN, WHERE and HOW the study was conducted given, including setting and relevant dates?	Not Applicable
3. Is the data collection instrument / tool (questionnaire) appropriate and adequately described?	Not Applicable
4. Is the sample size adequately described and mentioned how it was estimated at?	Not Applicable
5. Are the statistical tests justified and applied according to study question and design?	Not Applicable
6. Have the inclusion and exclusion criteria been included?	Not Applicable
7. For Case Reports / Case Series: Have the authors mentioned the follow up of the cases/patients, where applicable?	Not Applicable
8. Have all the ethical considerations been addressed and mentioned in the manuscript (informed consent, institutional ethical approval, confidentiality, anonymity etc.)?	Not Applicable

# **RESULTS**



Has summarized information of main outcome variables been given     according to the objectives in a logical sequence?	Not Applicable
Are the tables, figures and graphs properly designed and captioned  (example: ±SD for mean, frequency with percentages)?	Not Applicable

## **DISCUSSION**

Are the key results summarized in light of existing literature with references to study objectives?	Yes
2. Is the generalizability of the study results discussed (external validity)?	Yes
3. Are the limitations of the study discussed (if any), taking into account sources of potential bias?	Yes

## **CONCLUSION**

Is the conclusion appropriately written keeping the study objectives  in view?	Yes
2. Are the recommendations (if any) appropriate and relevant?	Yes

## **REFERENCES**

Do the references follow the journal and is cited in ascending order that the second sec	urnal recommended Vancouver style roughout the text?	No
2. Do the website references (if a addresses?	ny) have the date of access and complete web	Yes

## **GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**



1. Does the manuscript add new ideas / finding to the existing literature?	Yes
2. Does the manuscript present existing ideas in a better way?	Yes
3. Language and grammar:	Yes

- 4. The manuscript should stay as it is or converted to another article type?
- o It should stay as the same manuscript type
- o It should be converted to a short report/short communication YES
- o It should be converted to a letter to the editor

**COMMENTS FOR EDITOR** (Please use extra sheet if required)

there has been no mention of what type of an article is this. No mention of methods and material was there

## **COMMENTS FOR AUTHORS (Please use extra sheet if required)**

Explain which kind of an article is this and explain in detail the study methodology, operational definitions

Your Decision : Major Revision Reject
Would you like to see the manuscript again after revision?
Yes

	Reviewer's name: Dr, Rabia Wajid
Designation: Assistant Professor Obs and Gynae KEMU	Institute:  King Edward Medical University, Lahore