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This is an interesting topic. Please check back the sentence and words used in this paper.

1. Abstract: Living wills document how patients wish to be treated in the event of becoming incompetent to make their medical decisions. - Please rephrase.

2. Even if a physician is not trained to give equal importance to autonomy, beneficence, and nonmaleficence, they can rationalize the enforcement of an advance care directive under the principle of nonmaleficence rather than under autonomy. - Why do you say that?

3. An advance care directive documents the wishes of the patient in terms of the treatments the patient wishes to receive in the event of his or her becoming unable to make their medical decisions (Campbell & Kisely, 2009). - Please check and re-write this sentence carefully.

4. These directives are to be written by the patient after consultation with their family and physician. - Why do you suddenly switch to the plural?

5. landmark 2018 judgement in Common Cause v. Union of India... - Please carefully consider/scrutinize what the judge said in this case.

6. As the physician identified as a Hindu, she was invited to deliberate with the Hindu theologian on the panel, along with the philosopher and social scientist via videoconferencing, and supported in her decision-making. - What do you want to analyze by stating this point? Please elaborate further.

7. Conclusion: Physician or doctor - Which one will you use in this paper?

Please include some other cases and cite them properly. Thank you.