

# Review of: "Art, Science, and Technology of Safeguarding the Outstanding Engineering Faculty Members From the Institutional Hazards, Planned Destructions, and Booby Traps"

Prashant S Borde<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Indian Institute of Management Shillong

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I sincerely thank the Editor for allowing me to review this study. Definitely, it builds up on unique phenomenon and adds to existing literature. Although the contributions from the author are remarkable, I believe the following suggestions will help improve the paper.

Location of study may be mentioned.

Research method may be mentioned. Whether the inputs were based on qualitative methods? If yes, then please mention details of interviews, group discussions, etc. Please mention the type of analysis done (E.g. thematic analysis, content analysis)

Please mention demographic pattern of participants.

Please mention theoretical support taken in this study.

Please make small sentences. Big sentences often confuse the readers.

Please keep paragraphs limited to maximum of 10 lines.

Online grammar services can be used to check errors.

Article name is bit confusing. You may change it to suit the theme of the abstract.

Keywords can be selected appropriately.

The list as shown in the text can be tabulated.

Implications for educational leaders and institutions can further add the value to this topic.

Once again, I thank the editor for allowing me to review this article.

