

Review of: "Antimicrobial Sensitivity of Plant Extracts of Acacia arabica, Prosopis juliflora, Abutilon indicum, and Bryonia laciniosa on Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Escherichia coli"

Mariola Kozłowska¹

1 Warsaw University of Life Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

A few comments on the article presented.

The authors used three bacterial strains in the study, so in the title of the manuscript the expression antibacterial should appear rather than "antimicrobial".

If the authors use the names of bacterial strains again, they should use them in an abbreviated form.

The Introduction consists of several subsections, two of which are tables, one of which is a figure, and the other two of which deal with "Phytochemical constituents" and "Antimicrobial activity" of the plant material used in the study. I suggest combining the latter two subsections for a specific plant material. In the "Introduction" section, it is also important to demonstrate what the novelty of the proposed research is. Besides, usually only the purpose of the research is placed at the end of the introduction.

In subsection 2.2, it was probably weighed for extraction of 50 g of material, and the given value of 50 mg/ml is the concentration of the resulting solution.

The names of the extracts on the capped test tubes shown in Figure 2.2 are not legible.

The extraction yield and at least the qualitative chemical composition of the obtained extracts should be provided in the article.

Qeios ID: GWFDUH · https://doi.org/10.32388/GWFDUH