

Review of: "The Relationship Between AI Tools and Their Aspects in Higher Education"

Rodrigo Pérez Fernández¹

¹ Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper employs a mixed-methods approach to assess the awareness, benefits, opportunities, and challenges faced by educators in higher education institutions (HEIs).

The clarity in organization makes it easy for readers to follow the logical flow of the research. The main concern is the lack of references and literature review.

The introduction provides a contextual background, linking the technological transformations in education due to the pandemic with the adoption of AI tools. It effectively highlights the relevance of AI/ChatGPT/Chatbots in higher education and sets the stage for the study. The inclusion of key references strengthens the foundation for the research.

The paper employs a triangulation approach involving both quantitative and qualitative research designs. Data were collected through structured research instruments from 350 teachers in HEIs, with 192 responses received and 177 considered for analysis. The use of logistic regression analysis to test hypotheses adds statistical rigor to the study. The paper effectively communicates the reliability of the instrument (Cronbach's alpha of 0.81) and provides demographic information on the participants, enhancing transparency.

The study's findings are presented systematically, testing and interpreting four hypotheses related to awareness, benefits, opportunities, and challenges of AI tools in higher education. The results indicate that heightened awareness positively influences adoption, while challenges act as barriers. The rejection of hypotheses related to benefits and opportunities suggests that these aspects are yet to be fully realized in the context of AI/ChatGPT/Chatbots in higher education. The logistic regression analysis further supports these conclusions.

The paper outlines practical implications for higher education institutions, educators, regulators, and learners. It emphasizes the need for informed policies, goals, and strategies to integrate AI technologies ethically and sustainably. The call for collaborative research to address challenges and ethical issues is a valuable recommendation. The paper encourages a proactive approach to embracing AI-based technologies while safeguarding ethics and integrity in the education environment.

The conclusion effectively summarizes the study's key findings, emphasizing the transformative potential of AI/ChatGPT/Chatbots in higher education. The limitations of the study, such as the focus on instructors and the absence of trials, are acknowledged, providing avenues for future research. The final takeaway is that, despite challenges and

ethical concerns, AI technologies have the potential to significantly impact research and education.

Paper is well-structured, with a clear introduction, robust methodology, and coherent presentation of results. The findings are supported by statistical analysis, adding credibility to the research. The practical implications are relevant and actionable. While the study acknowledges its limitations, future research could address these gaps and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the perceptions of various stakeholders in the education ecosystem.

The paper successfully contributes to the discourse on AI in higher education. To enhance its impact, the authors might consider expanding the study to include perspectives from students, regulators, and software developers, providing a more holistic understanding of the challenges and opportunities associated with AI tools in higher education. Additionally, the research could explore specific case studies or real-world implementations of AI technologies in education to supplement the findings of this study.