

Review of: "American Institutional Stereotypes: A Pilot Investigation of Factor Structure"

Qing-Wei Chen¹

¹ South China Normal University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

"American Institutional Stereotypes: A Pilot Investigation of Factor Structure"

This manuscript aimed to determine the American institutional stereotypes of "government agencies," "corporations," and "nonprofit advocacy groups" by two studies. The results revealed that American institutional stereotypes exhibited an unexpectedly invariant structure based on beneficial versus harmful attributes. Overall, this topic is important and the findings added novel insights into the institutional stereotypes. However, some concerns appeared after reading the whole manuscript.

1. The structural validity of traditional stereotype content scales was criticized during recent studies and one latest paper summarized and re-analyzed the published data. It would be also interesting to exam the structure validity of the current scales used to describe the institutional stereotypes.

Reference:

Friebs, M. T., Kotzur, P. F., Böttcher, J., Zöller, A. K., Lüttmer, T., Wagner, U., ... & Van Zalk, M. H. (2022). Examining the structural validity of stereotype content scales—a preregistered re-analysis of published data and discussion of possible future directions. *International Review of Social Psychology*, 35(1), 1-18.

2. The following references about the organizational stereotypes should be reviewed and discussed.

Stiegert, P., Täuber, S., Leliveld, M. C., & Oehmichen, J. (2021). The stereotype rub-off effect—Organizational stereotypes modulate behavioural expectations, expectancy violation and punishment after transgressions. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 165, 127-138.

Lee, S. P., Heinze, K., & Lu, L. D. (2018). Warmth, competence, and willingness to donate: how perceptions of partner organizations affect support of corporate social responsibility initiatives in professional sport. *Journal of Sport and Social Issues*, 42(1), 23-48.