

Review of: "Enhancing Cancer Treatment and Understanding Through Clustering of Gene Responses to Categorical Stressors"

Amin Ebrahimi Sadrabadi¹

¹ Royan Institute for Biotechnology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The study, rooted in the recognition of glycolysis as a pivotal player in cancer metabolism, adopts an integrative approach that transcends traditional histological classifications. By leveraging advanced data clustering techniques, the research aims to discern nuanced molecular signatures, thereby paving the way for a more tailored and effective therapeutic paradigm.

In this critical analysis, the strengths and areas for improvement inherent in the article are dissected with a view to providing constructive insights. The assessment encompasses methodological robustness, data presentation, statistical rigor, considerations of clinical relevance, and the overall coherence of the study's narrative.

Strengths:

1. Comprehensive Methodology: The study exhibits a comprehensive investigative approach by scrutinizing the genetic responses of cancer cells to a diverse array of antiglycolytic agents. This methodological breadth lends itself to a nuanced comprehension of cancer cell behavior in the context of glycolysis inhibition.

2. Integration of Molecular Signatures: The incorporation of iClusters, emanating from an integrative clustering methodology, augments the depth of analysis by categorizing cancer based on molecular signatures rather than conventional histological classifications. This alignment with contemporary trends in cancer research underscores the study's relevance.

3. Identification of Response Clusters: The study adeptly discerns four distinct response clusters, each manifesting discrete molecular characteristics and susceptibilities to distinct classes of therapeutic agents. This discernment offers valuable insights into potential heterogeneities in treatment responses across various cancer types.

4. Consideration of Tumor Microenvironment: Noteworthy is the study's attention to the significance of the tumor microenvironment, as elucidated in the discussion section. The acknowledgment of the impact of neighboring cells, vasculature, and extracellular matrix on glycolysis-related gene expression enhances the study's contextualization.

Areas for Improvement:

1. Enhanced Data Presentation and Interpretation: The article would benefit from improved data presentation. Several

referenced figures, such as Figure 1a and Figure 1b, remain absent, impeding a comprehensive understanding of the clustering outcomes. Inclusion of these figures is imperative for the sake of clarity.

2. Statistical Rigor: While the article effectively outlines the employed statistical analyses, such as consensus clustering, a more elaborate exposition of the statistical methodologies, particularly in the context of treatment response cluster analysis, would bolster the study's methodological robustness.

3. Validation and Reproducibility Considerations: Given the emphasis on *in silico* validations, the article should candidly address potential limitations and challenges associated with reproducing the results. The intricate and diverse nature of cancer experiments warrants a thorough exploration of the feasibility and reproducibility of the reported findings.

4. Clinical Relevance Discussion: The article could benefit from a more comprehensive discussion of the clinical implications arising from the findings. Delving into how these response clusters might inform personalized cancer treatment strategies and addressing challenges in transitioning from *in vitro* to *in vivo* applications would enrich the article's discourse.

5. Concluding Section Enhancement: The conclusion section might be strengthened by succinctly summarizing key findings and expounding on their broader implications for cancer research and treatment. Additionally, the inclusion of prospective avenues for future research would be germane.

Overall Impression:

The study constitutes a meaningful contribution to our comprehension of cancer cell responses to glycolysis inhibition. Noteworthy strengths include the incorporation of molecular data, identification of response clusters, and the acknowledgment of the tumor microenvironment. Addressing the outlined areas for improvement is imperative to elevate the scholarly merit and impact of the article in the realm of cancer biology.